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CHAPTER 1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

There are many inherent challenges to providing transit services in a small, rural community such as Wasco. Population is usually sparse, creating trips of greater distances; access to all destinations can prove to be troublesome due to budget constraints; and road networks may lack the appropriate capacity to accommodate public transportation. Given those challenges, the City of Wasco continues to provide a quality, affordable travel alternative to the community.

The cornerstone goals of the Wasco Transit Development Plan (TDP) are to conduct an objective and comprehensive assessment of existing public transit offered within the city of Wasco, and to present practical strategies for addressing current and forecast demand for public transit service.

Funded by the Kern Council of Governments through the state Transportation Development Act (TDA), the TDP is a recurring planning activity updated on a three- to five-year cycle.

In addition to the traditional Scope of Work, this project included an assessment of the SR-43 Corridor. Intercity fixed-route service is provided along this corridor (Kern Transit Routes 110 and 115) linking Bakersfield and Delano.

The City of Wasco operates Dial-A-Ride (DAR), a demand-response, door-to-door weekly service open to the general public. Kern Transit also provides transit service to the community in the form Routes 110 and 115. DAR operates only within city limits, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Kern Transit Routes 110 and 115 provide intercity service between Bakersfield, Delano, and Lost Hills, with three service points in Wasco.

Our project team identified and quantified current and projected public transit demand within Wasco using data from the federal census along with Dial-A-Ride and SR-43 customer surveys, a community survey, and Community meeting. Employing this data, we were able to quantify current demand and forecast future demand among a variety of demographic and population segments.

We evaluated the current Wasco Transit services through daily trip sheet analysis and field observations. Through this analysis we developed an objective “snapshot” of actual Wasco Transit performance. The consultant also conducted multiple surveys throughout the course of the Plan process to gather community and rider insight about Wasco DAR and Kern Transit transit services. We surveyed the Wasco community as well as Dial-A-Ride and Kern Transit customers. The resulting data presented a true picture of local and regional transit demand. Based on the preceding, we crafted a set of practical recommendations, both operational and administrative. Such recommendations will provide Wasco Transit with new avenues to enhance program performance and development.

Along with the Capital and Financial Plans, we prepared an Implementation Plan to support the proposed recommendations. The Implementation Plan outlines the suggested timeframe for the introduction of each recommendation.
CHAPTER 2
MARKET ANALYSIS AND EXISTING CONDITIONS ASSESSMENT

SECTION 2.1 – MARKET ANALYSIS

Existing Conditions
The City of Wasco operates the Wasco Dial-A-Ride (DAR) program which functions as a door-to-door, reservation-based, shared-ride demand-response service open to the general public.

Demographics Assessment
This section provides analyses of demographic and socio-economic data including population, age, race/ethnicity, gender, employment, poverty, disability, housing, and transportation. Data was gathered from the 2000 and 2010 Census, 2010-2014 American Community Survey (ACS), Kern Council of Governments’ (Kern COG) 2014 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, and California Department of Finance. The following paragraphs provide analysis of Exhibits 2.1.1 through Exhibit 2.1.11.

Summary of Findings
- The city of Wasco’s population (estimated at 25,865) has increased 1.3 percent since 2010.
- 8,552 or 33.1 percent of the population is at an age which is unable to fully contribute to the economic growth and earning power of the community.
- The median age is 30.8 years old, which is 4.8 years younger than the median age for California (35.6).
- Median household income within Wasco is $39,273, lower than California ($61,489) and the nation ($53,482).
- An estimated 12.5 percent of residents aged 65 and older (183) live at or below the federal poverty line.
- The major racial or ethnic categories for residents that are defined as either single race or a combination with one or more races are: Hispanic or Latino (19,988), White alone (3,509), Black or African America (1,874), Asian (290), Native American or Alaskan Native (89), and Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (12).

Social Profile
According to the American Community Survey (FY 2010-2014), the Wasco median age is 30.8 years, while the median age in California is 35.6 years. In 2014, the median household income for Wasco was $39,273. By comparison, California and national median household incomes were $61,489 and $53,482, respectively.
Within Wasco, an estimated 50 percent of the population 25 years and older lacks a high school diploma. This does not compare favorably with California (18.5 percent) and national average (13.7 percent). There is an even greater divergence in the percentage of individuals who have at least a Bachelor’s degree. Within Wasco, 3.7 percent have at least a Bachelor’s degree compared with California and national averages (31.0 percent and 29.3 percent, respectively). However, a review of the population 25 years and older who have earned a high school diploma (including equivalency) indicates Wasco (26.8 percent) compares favorably with California (20.7 percent) and unfavorably with the national average of 28 percent.

### Exhibit 2.1.1  Summary of Demographic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median Age</th>
<th>Median Household Income</th>
<th>No High School Diploma</th>
<th>High School Graduate (Less than Bachelor’s)</th>
<th>Bachelor’s Degree or Higher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>$39,273</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Average</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>$61,489</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>$53,482</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ACS 5-Year Estimate (2010-2014)

### Mode of Travel

According to ACS estimates, 18.7 percent of Wasco residents rideshare to work, nearly twice the national average (9.6 percent). However, Exhibit 2.1.2 revealed five percent of both California and national populations chose public transportation as a means of travel to work, while less than one percent of Wasco residents (0.4 percent) chose public transportation. Interestingly, only 0.7 percent of Wasco residents indicated working from home.

### Exhibit 2.1.2  Means of Travel to Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Public Transportation</th>
<th>Carpool</th>
<th>Walk</th>
<th>Bicycle, Motorcycle, Taxi</th>
<th>Drove Alone</th>
<th>Work at Home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Average</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ACS 5-Year Estimate (2010-2014)

### Economic Profile

The unemployment rate in Wasco was 16.4 percent based on the 2014 American Community Survey. By comparison, the unemployment rate in California was 11 percent while the national average was 9.2 percent. A review of 2000 unemployment figures for Wasco reveals an increase of 102.5 percent in the unemployment rate across a 14-year period. This compares favorably with California (155.8 percent) and national (148.6 percent) unemployment trends, which have increased at a higher rate.
Housing Profile
Median single-family dwelling prices in Wasco ($120,900) are considerably lower than either California ($371,400) or national ($175,700) averages; this is also true of median rental costs. Average wages paid by employers within Wasco are typically lower than wages state-wide. Available data does not indicate how many families are living within the same residence. It is likely within Wasco that multiple families are sharing the cost of housing so that various day-to-day expenses can be shared. This may translate to a “hidden” demand for public transit service.

Population
Population projections for the city of Wasco were developed by Kern Council of Governments (Kern COG) in conjunction with the 2014 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy. Population projections for California were developed by the California Department of Finance.

According to ACS estimates, the total population within Wasco was 25,865, an increase of 21.6 percent over 2000. By comparison, California population has increased by 12.4 percent over the same time-period. Exhibit 2.1.5 provides population projections for the city of Wasco through 2020. Projections for Wasco indicate a 20.6 percent increase between 2014 and 2020. By comparison, California projections forecast a 6.7 percent increase.
Mobility-Dependent Populations

In many American communities, historically transportation-disadvantaged populations include youth, senior, persons with disabilities, individuals with incomes at or below the state poverty level (in California, $23,850 annually for a family of four in 2014), and one- or no-vehicle households (See Exhibit 2.1.6). Persons within these groups typically have a greater propensity to use public transit due to the absence of other mobility options.

Population projections were obtained from the 2014 Kern County Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, the California Department of Finance, and the federal census. Other transportation-disadvantaged population groups were projected using a step-down approach which proportionally forecasts an area’s population (Wasco) from projections of a larger region (Kern County) to which the area belongs. These projections were also based on demographic trend lines calculated using data from both the Federal Census and California Department of Finance.

Youth Population

For the purposes of this study, the term “youth” is defined as individuals 18 years of age or younger. The “youth share” of total population in Wasco was 27.2 percent in 2014 (7,037). By comparison, the “youth share” of total population in California was 24.2 percent in 2014 (See Exhibit 2.1.7). This suggests strong demand for mobility options. Assuming the relative share of total population mirrors that of Kern County, the youth population in Wasco will increase by 24.6 percent (or 1,730 persons) by 2020.
Typically, the mobility needs of youth are addressed by family, friends, or the local school district; making public transit unnecessary for many trips. In smaller communities (such as Wasco), an efficient and reliable public transit service can be a welcome alternative for parents and local school districts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wasco^~</th>
<th>California^~</th>
<th>United States^^</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Youth</strong></td>
<td><strong>Percent of Total Population</strong></td>
<td><strong>Youth</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 2010*</td>
<td>7,351</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 2014**</td>
<td>7,037</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected 2020</td>
<td>8,767</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Change (2014-2020)</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Census 2010  
**ACS 5-Year Estimate (2010-2014)  
^Kern COG 2014 Regional Transit Plan - Population Projections  
^^Census Population Projections  
~California Department of Finance - Population Projections

Senior Population

For the purposes of this study, the term “senior” is defined as individuals 65 years of age or older. The senior population within the city of Wasco was estimated at 1,515 or 5.9 percent of the total population in 2014. By comparison, in California, persons aged 65 years and older comprised a larger share at 12.1 percent (See Exhibit 2.1.8).

Assuming the relative share of total population mirrors that of Kern County, the senior population in Wasco will increase by 136.8 percent (2,073) by 2020. By contrast, California at-large is forecasted to increase 35.6 percent (6,261,534).

Ensuring those persons 65 years and older have access to healthcare and other day-to-day services is critical to both the Wasco’s and Kern County’s overall quality of life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wasco^~</th>
<th>California^~</th>
<th>United States^^</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senior</strong></td>
<td><strong>Percent of Total Population</strong></td>
<td><strong>Elderly</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 2010*</td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 2014**</td>
<td>1,515</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected 2020</td>
<td>3,588</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Change (2014-2020)</td>
<td>136.8%</td>
<td>94.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Census 2010  
**ACS 5-Year Estimate (2010-2014)  
^Kern COG 2014 Regional Transit Plan - Population Projections  
^^Census Population Projections  
~California Department of Finance - Population Projections
Persons with Disabilities

The American Community Survey (ACS) clusters disabilities into six categories: sensory, physical, self-care, mental, employment, and disabilities that affect an individual’s ability to travel outside the home. These six categories have been grouped together for purposes of this analysis.

In 2014, the disabled population within the city of Wasco was estimated at 1,663 or 8.3 percent of the total population. In comparison, the disabled population within California reflected a slightly larger share at 10.3 percent (See Exhibit 2.1.9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wasco**</th>
<th>California***</th>
<th>United States^^</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population 2010</strong></td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>3,680,548</td>
<td>36,390,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Population</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population 2014</strong></td>
<td>1,663</td>
<td>3,851,442</td>
<td>37,874,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Population</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected 2020</strong></td>
<td>3,307</td>
<td>5,118,038</td>
<td>46,830,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Population</td>
<td>10.60%</td>
<td>12.60%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Change (2014-2020)</strong></td>
<td>98.9%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Population</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low-Income Population

The federal census considers individuals with an annual income of $11,888 or less as living in poverty. ACS 2014 five-year estimates reveal Wasco as having a relatively high percentage of households in poverty (29.1 percent). This compares unfavorably with both California (12.3 percent) and the nation (11.5 percent).

Individuals within this demographic are likely to be dependent upon alternate modes of travel including public transit for day-to-day mobility. Given the significant percentage of persons identified as low-income, increasing affordable and accessible mobility options to key destinations would likely translate to an enhanced quality of life for such individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wasco**</th>
<th>California***</th>
<th>United States^^</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population 2010</strong></td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>866,523</td>
<td>7,701,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Population</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population 2014</strong></td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td>1,065,953</td>
<td>8,850,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Population</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected 2020</strong></td>
<td>1,695</td>
<td>1,529,276</td>
<td>13,198,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Population</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Change (2014-2020)</strong></td>
<td>136.8%</td>
<td>-10.4%</td>
<td>-10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Population</td>
<td>-10.4%</td>
<td>-10.3%</td>
<td>-10.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Race Distributions
The single largest non-white and/or racial group residing within Wasco is Hispanic or Latino (77.3 percent). Other groups identified through the 2014 ACS 5-Year estimates include African American (7.2 percent), Asian (1.1 percent) and Native American or Alaska Native (0.3 percent) (See Exhibit 2.1.11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>3,509</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>1,874</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American/Alaska Native</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino Race</td>
<td>19,988</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS 5-Year Estimate (2010-2014)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trip Generators
Identifying likely trip generators is the first step in a conventional four-step transportation model used for forecasting travel demand. A travel demand model supports predictions of the number of trips originating or destined for a particular location. Among other factors, trip generation typically looks at the destination of trips and trip attractors. Trip attractors usually include non-residential land uses such as commercial activity, educational facilities, and large industries. Also worth noting are special generators such as medical facilities, post-secondary schools, shopping centers, and military facilities. Special generators have different trip generation characteristics that should not be treated as regular employers. Post-secondary schools tend to have different travel patterns as students travel patterns differ from a typical nine-to-five job. Hospitals are open twenty-four hours a day without a peak period. Large shopping centers typically attract the largest number of trips on weekends and in the evenings. Both typical and special travel generators can have a major impact on the local transportation network.

The identification of trip generators provides a basis for:

- Quantifying demand for public transit service, and
- Identifying temporal and spatial gaps in available transit service.

Exhibit 2.1.12 presents a list of trip generators within Wasco’s sphere of influence. Many of the larger employers are located within Wasco city limits. These include school districts, government facilities (i.e., city hall, libraries, social service centers), as well as a number of smaller retail and commercial establishments. Educational destinations warranting public transit service (i.e., high schools) are also located within city limits.
## Literature Review

In support of the Wasco Transit Development Plan, Moore & Associates reviewed the following documents, and determined their relevance/impact specific to this project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Number</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Relevancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>California High-Speed Rail Authority - Statewide Rail Modernization Plan (2013)</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>City of Shafter General Plan (2005)</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kern COG Commuter Rail Feasibility Study (2012)</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kern County Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan (2013)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kern County General Plan - Circulation Element (2009)</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (2014)</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Western Kern Transit Development Plan (2007)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Review findings:**

- Many of the prior TDP's recommendations have yet to be implemented. Examples include efforts to increase community awareness, and the absence of certain transit policies and programs (Reference Number 7).
- Kern Transit is an important regional partner providing access to northwestern Kern county (Reference Number 7).
- A Commuter Rail Feasibility Study identified northwest Kern county as one of six commuter rail corridors. Study concludes that commuter rail service in Kern county warrants further study (Reference Number 3).
- The General Plan proposes planned neighborhoods with pedestrian, transit, and vehicular connectivity through Minor Collector roadways (Reference Number 2).
- Located within the city of Wasco’s Sphere of Influence are several proposed projects (Reference Number 6):
o Intermodal high speed rail heavy maintenance facility north of 7th Standard Road along the BNSF tracks.
o Proposed 11 miles of bicycle facilities.
o BNSF grade separation at State Highway 46.
o Upgrades to State Highway 43 and State Highway 46.

• The General Plan land-use map characterizes land use in Wasco as low to medium-density residential (Reference Number 2).
• The General Plan recommends the City maintain high level of circulation service, increase connectivity of neighborhoods, and minimize division of the community caused by major transportation facilities (Reference Number 2).
• A critical issue facing Dial-A-Ride service is the effective recruitment and retention of certified drivers (Reference Number 2).
• The City and region should continue to support transit use at the local level and on a regional scale (Reference Number 2).

SECTION 2.2 – EXISTING CONDITIONS ASSESSMENT

The Service and System Evaluation of the City of Wasco transit service provides an overview of the current services available within the Wasco Sphere of Influence (WSI), evaluating system performance through quantifiable indicators. The goals of this evaluation include an objective assessment of current transit operations, identification of areas for improvement and enhancement, and foundation for service enhancement recommendations.

Prior Study
In 2007, Kern Council of Governments (Kern COG) developed the Western Kern Transit Development Plan with a five-year horizon for the cities of Wasco, Shafter, and McFarland. The recommendations presented therein were categorized as service, administrative, and marketing recommendations. Exhibit 2.2.1 presents each of the proposed recommendations from the 2007 TDP along with a status update (Complete, Ongoing, and Incomplete) regarding implementation of that specific recommendation/task. Each recommendation/task’s status is representative of information provided by Wasco city staff.
Exhibit 2.2.1 Status of Prior Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wasco Recommendations</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of fixed-route service to connect major activity centers to the</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transfer center.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of a subscription service for Dial-A-Ride.</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve on-time performance and eliminate missed trips.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve farebox recovery ratio to 10%.</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement a marketing plan to increase community awareness and support for</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasco Transit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit a monthly report to the City Council that identifies performance</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>characteristics and measures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place city logo on all transit vehicles and information brochures.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplify/standardize fare media.</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Existing Transit Service

Wasco transit is funded and operated by the City of Wasco. The current system features a local Dial-A-Ride service provided to the general public within city limits. The service area is accessible via State Highway 43 which travels north-south through Wasco, and State Highway 46 traveling east-west. The following table summarizes Wasco DAR service operating hours and fares.

Exhibit 2.2.2 Current DAR Service Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Service Name</th>
<th>Weekday</th>
<th>Weekend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demand-Response</td>
<td>Dial-A-Ride</td>
<td>8:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 2.2.3 Current Service Fares

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fare Category</th>
<th>Dial-A-Ride</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult (within Wasco)</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (Golf Course &amp; State Prison)</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth (5 to 10 years)</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children (0 to 4 years)</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors &amp; Disabled 11-Ride Pass</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (11 to 61 years) 13-Ride Pass</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fleet

Wasco Transit utilizes a fleet of varied composition. State and federal funding received by the City in prior years allowed the City to procure a number of new cutaway buses and passenger vans (e.g., 2012 Ford) for Dial-A-Ride service. Currently, all three cutaway buses are ADA-compliant. The City has placed an order in Fiscal Year 2016 for two additional 14-passenger cutaway buses that will be lift-equipped. A fleet summary is presented below.
### Exhibit 2.2.4 Fleet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Number</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Make</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mileage (6/30/2015)</th>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Seating Capacity</th>
<th>Wheelchair Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Ford</td>
<td>E450</td>
<td>Cutaway</td>
<td>87,769</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Chevy</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>Cutaway</td>
<td>72,255</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Chevy</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>Cutaway</td>
<td>93,349</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Ford</td>
<td>E150XL</td>
<td>Passenger Van</td>
<td>23,905</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cutaway</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cutaway</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Indicators
For the purpose of evaluating transportation services, performance goals and metrics were established to assess overall efficiency and areas of potential improvement. The following section will detail Dial-A-Ride performance across three fiscal years (FY 2012/2013 through FY 2014/2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Cost</td>
<td>$211,222</td>
<td>$236,920</td>
<td>$216,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>-8.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$24,931</td>
<td>$26,695</td>
<td>$24,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>-9.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Service Hours (V SH)</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>1,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-8.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Service Miles (VSM)</td>
<td>33,008</td>
<td>32,344</td>
<td>31,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridership</td>
<td>20,368</td>
<td>20,308</td>
<td>20,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Cost/VSH</td>
<td>$104.77</td>
<td>$117.52</td>
<td>$117.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Cost/VSM</td>
<td>$6.40</td>
<td>$7.33</td>
<td>$6.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>-6.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Cost/Passenger</td>
<td>$10.37</td>
<td>$11.67</td>
<td>$10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>-7.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passengers/VSH</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>10.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passengers/VSM</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fare/Passenger</td>
<td>$1.22</td>
<td>$1.31</td>
<td>$1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>-7.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>-4.5%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSM/VSH</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ridership
Ridership has declined slightly across the past three years (See Exhibit 2.2.6). Ridership peaked in FY 2012/2013, at 20,368 riders. Overall, DAR ridership averaged of 20,241 riders annually over three fiscal years. Statewide fuel costs have declined. Consequently, when fuel costs decline, ridership tends to decline as riders use their personal vehicles more frequently.

Exhibit 2.2.6 Annual Ridership

Farebox Recovery
Farebox Recovery Ratio calculates the percentage of operating cost realized through payment of passenger fares. It is the most common measure of public subsidy of a transit service. Exhibit 2.2.7 reveals farebox recovery at 11.2 percent in FY 2014/2015, a decrease of 5.1 percent since FY 2012/2013. Declining ridership has impacted farebox recovery efforts.

A cornerstone strategy for improving farebox recovery is to increase ridership while maintaining or reducing operating costs. A discussion of tactics to improve farebox recovery is included in the Service Recommendations chapter.

Exhibit 2.2.7 Farebox Recovery
Operating Cost/Vehicle Service Hours (VSH)

This metric calculates service efficiency, reflective of the total cost to provide a single hour of revenue service. As shown in the Exhibit 2.2.8, cost/vehicle service hours increases across the evaluation period, ranging from a low of $104.77/VSH in FY 2012/2013 to a high of $117.52/VSH in FY 2013/2014. Overall, there has been an alarming increase in Cost/VSH across the last three fiscal years. Rising operating costs combined with declining ridership have contributed to the drastic increase.

Factors having the most impact on operating costs in a small rural transit program include local road network configuration, fuel and maintenance costs, and traveled distance (route length). Also of consideration when evaluating operating cost is the number of revenue hours spent delivering services to the community. Providing the optimal level of service, meaning, reducing the revenue hours of under-performing services while increasing ridership (via targeted marketing), could improve this and other performance metrics.

![Exhibit 2.2.8 Operating Cost/VSH](image)

Operating Cost/Vehicle Service Mile (VSM)

Operating Cost/VSM for Wasco DAR ranged from a low of $6.40 in FY 2012/2013 to a high of $7.33 in FY 2013/2014. After a peak in FY 2013/2014, Cost/VSM decreased to $6.84 in FY 2014/2015. Overall, DAR experienced a 6.9 percent increase across the past three fiscal years (See Exhibit 2.2.9). The rise in Cost/VSM can be attributed to increased operating costs combined with declining revenue miles.

The variable nature of demand-responsive service means the City is less likely to realize operating efficiencies and improvements based on cost/mile metrics. In order to improve this metric, the City should optimize the efficiency of the Dial-A-Ride through more effective trip clustering whenever feasible.
Another measure of cost-effectiveness, Operating Cost/Passenger, tracks the amount the City expends for a single unlinked passenger trip. As shown in Exhibit 2.2.10, the City spent $10.80/passenger in FY 2014/15, increasing 4.1 percent over three fiscal years.

Passengers/VSH
Passengers/VSH calculates the productivity level and efficiency of a transit service during revenue-hours. This metric quantifies the number of rides provided during each revenue or service hour. Exhibit 2.2.11 reveals Passengers/VSH increased 7.4 percent across three fiscal years. We believe this change can be attributed to a 8.3 percent decrease in Vehicle Service Hours across three fiscal years while ridership only declined by 1.6 percent.
Passenger/VSM
Passengers/VSM for Wasco DAR experienced a net increase of 1.6 percent between FY 2012/2013 and FY 2014/2015, as shown by Exhibit 2.2.12.
Fare/Passenger
This metric calculates the average fare paid for each unlinked trip. A review of fare/passenger shows relatively little change in fare/passenger across three fiscal years, averaging $1.25 per customer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Fare/Passenger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012/2013</td>
<td>$1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013/2014</td>
<td>$1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014/2015</td>
<td>$1.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Exhibit 2.2.13 Fare/Passenger](image.png)
**Title VI Compliance**

This section provides a summary of the City of Wasco’s public transit program compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. In June 2014, the City of Wasco adopted a Caltrans-approved Title VI Program. This was the City’s first Title VI (transit) submittal reflecting the guidelines established in the FTA Circular 4702.1B revision (effective October 1, 2012). The Title VI Program was completed in collaboration with City staff, local stakeholders, and Caltrans.

Compliance with Title VI for transit operators receiving federal funding (either directly or as a sub-recipient) requires an assessment of the following categories, policies, and procedures:

A. Title VI notification to the public;
B. Locations where notice is posted;
C. Complaint policy and procedures;
D. List of any Title VI investigations, complaints, or lawsuits filed since prior assessment;
E. Public Participation Plan inclusive of:
   1. A summary of outreach efforts made, and
   2. An Outreach Plan to engage minority and limited-English proficient populations;
F. Limited-English Proficiency (LEP) Plan inclusive of:
   1. Four-Factor Analysis,
   2. Safe Harbor Provision (applicable to written documents),
   3. Provision of language assistance services,
   4. Description of how the City monitors/updates LEP Plan elements, and
   5. Description of employee training with respect to interactions with LEP populations;
G. Racial breakdown of non-elected planning/advisory councils, boards, or committees;
H. Title VI equity analysis for recently constructed facilities;
I. Board Resolution approving/adopting the Title VI Plan; and
J. Fixed-route performance and service standards.

The City’s Title VI submittal program was found to be in compliance with all applicable Title VI requirements, and the adopted Plan is available for public review at Wasco city hall.
CHAPTER 3
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

SECTION 3.1 – DIAL-A-RIDE SURVEY

In Spring 2016, the consultant team conducted a survey of Dial-A-Ride customers as part of the Transit Development Plan. The survey was designed to capture details regarding Dial-A-Ride (DAR) customer travel habits, perceptions, and mobility needs.

The surveys were conducted utilizing a direct-mail methodology and a supplemental online version between February 22, 2016 and March 15, 2016.

Survey Development and Administration
Survey Instrument Design
The DAR Customer Survey was developed in conjunction with Kern COG and City staff. Once the instrument was approved, it was translated into Spanish. The printed English version of the instrument referred Spanish speakers to the project webpage to access the Spanish version.

Data Collection
Wasco DAR drivers were given copies of the survey and postage-paid return envelopes to distribute to riders. In total, 75 surveys were distributed. Customers began receiving the surveys on February 22, 2016, and responses were accepted until March 15, 2016. In total, the survey garnered 59 valid responses.

The survey instruments are included in the Appendix of this report.

Data Processing
All survey data was entered into Survey Monkey using trained data entry personnel. Moore & Associates was responsible for the data entry process, reviewing data entry work on a daily basis while also conducting spot-checks throughout each day.

Data cleaning was undertaken by trained personnel following completion of data entry. This process resolved variations in data formatting that resulted in identical responses being sorted as different (i.e., “Bakersfield-The Marketplace” and “Bakersfield-marketplace” were rationalized to provide a single response). The cleaned data was then imported into a Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) database for further analysis.

The SPSS database allowed the consultant team to calculate simple frequencies as well as perform data cross-tabulations within each dataset. Data cross-tabulations allow comparisons between survey responses, thereby providing additional insight into customer demographics, travel patterns, perceptions of service, and service satisfaction.
Key Findings
Respondents to the DAR Survey reported moderate use of the City’s DAR program with 49.1 percent using the service three or more times per week. Of the respondents who had used the DAR service, an overwhelming majority (74 percent) were very satisfied with the DAR service. However, if given the choice, an overwhelming majority of DAR users (74.6 percent) would add Saturday service. Nearly 53 percent of respondents reported having ridden with Kern Transit Routes 110 or 115.

Travel preferences
Survey respondents were asked questions regarding their travel patterns and trip preferences. Among the findings:
- Top travel purposes were shopping (72.9 percent), healthcare/medical (66.1 percent), and social/recreational (13.6 percent).
- Unable to drive or no longer drive was the primary reason for using Wasco Dial-A-Ride (40.7 percent), followed by no or limited access to a personal vehicle (28.8 percent).
- Nearly half (49.1 percent) of riders responded to using Wasco DAR at least three times per week.
- To improve service:
  - Of the nearly 75 percent of respondents who would like to see Saturday service, 71 percent indicated that Saturday service should begin at 8 a.m., while 41 percent indicated Saturday service should end at either 2:00 p.m. or 3:00 p.m.
  - Nearly 36 percent would like later weekday service, with 5:00 p.m. being the most common response for preferred end time.

Demographics
Among the findings of demographic questions:
- Nearly 50 percent of respondents (49.2 percent) commonly speak Spanish within the home.
- More than 46 percent of respondents were 65 years of age or older.
- Eighty-three percent of respondents reported being retired (55.9 percent) or unemployed (27.1 percent).
- Nearly 62 percent of respondents reported not having access to a personal vehicle.
**Question 1: On average, how many one-way rides do you take on Dial-A-Ride each week?**

*Exhibit 3.1.1 Frequency of DAR Trips*

- Less than 1 trip per week: 16.9%
- 1 to 2 trips per week: 33.9%
- 3 to 4 trips per week: 32.2%
- 5 or more trips per week: 16.9%

*n = 59*

**Question 2: What is your overall satisfaction with the City’s Dial-A-Ride?**

*Exhibit 3.1.2 Satisfaction with DAR*

- Very satisfied: 55.8%
- Satisfied: 23.1%
- Somewhat satisfied: 15.4%
- Not satisfied: 5.8%

*n = 52*
**Question 3: What is your most common trip purpose when riding Dial-A-Ride?**

Exhibit 3.1.3 Common DAR Trip Purposes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare/medical</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/recreation</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Exhibit 3.1.3 Common DAR Trip Purposes, n = 59*

**Question 4: What is your primary reason for using Dial-A-Ride?**

Exhibit 3.1.4 Primary Motivators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No or limited access to a personal vehicle.</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other transportation services (i.e., taxi) are too expensive.</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have no other travel options.</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a disability which affects my mobility.</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t drive/no longer drive.</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience.</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer DAR over other options.</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Exhibit 3.1.4 Primary Motivators, n = 59*
**Question 5: How would you improve the Dial-A-Ride service?**

Exhibit 3.1.5  Preferred Service Improvement

- **Saturday service**: 74.6%
- **Sunday service**: 33.9%
- **Earlier weekday service**: 15.3%
- **Later weekday service**: 35.6%
- **None of the above**: 5.1%

$n = 59$
**Question 5.a: Saturday service time.**

**Exhibit 3.1.6 Preferred Saturday Service Times**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Begin at 7:00 a.m.</th>
<th>7.3%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Begin at 8:00 a.m.</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin at 9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin at 9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*n = 41*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End at 12:00 p.m.</th>
<th>2.6%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End at 1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End at 2:00 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End at 3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End at 3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End at 4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End at 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End at 6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End at 7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End at 8:00 p.m.</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*n = 39*
**Question 5.b: Sunday service time.**

**Exhibit 3.1.7 Preferred Sunday Service Times**

- Begin at 7:30 a.m.: 6.3%
- Begin at 8:00 a.m.: 50.0%
- Begin at 9:00 a.m.: 25.0%
- Begin at 10:00 a.m.: 6.3%
- Begin at 11:00 a.m.: 12.5%

- End at 12:00 p.m.: 6.7%
- End at 2:00 p.m.: 20.0%
- End at 3:00 p.m.: 26.7%
- End at 3:30 p.m.: 6.7%
- End at 4:00 p.m.: 6.7%
- End at 5:00 p.m.: 6.7%
- End at 6:00 p.m.: 6.7%
- End at 7:00 p.m.: 6.7%
- End at 11:00 p.m.: 13.3%

$n = 16, n = 15$
**Question 5.c: Weekday service time.**

**Exhibit 3.1.8 Preferred Weekday Service Times**

- **Begin at 4:00 a.m.**
  - 12.5%
  - \( n = 8 \)

- **Begin at 6:00 a.m.**
  - 25.0%

- **Begin at 7:00 a.m.**
  - 50.0%

- **Begin at 7:30 a.m.**
  - 12.5%

- **End at 4:00 p.m.**
  - 4.8%

- **End at 5:00 p.m.**
  - 52.4%
  - \( n = 21 \)

- **End at 7:00 p.m.**
  - 9.5%

- **End at 7:30 p.m.**
  - 4.8%

- **End at 8:00 p.m.**
  - 14.3%

- **End at 9:00 p.m.**
  - 4.8%

- **End at 11:00 p.m.**
  - 9.5%
**Question 8:** Have you used Kern Transit’s intercity Route 110 (Bakersfield-Delano) or Route 115 (Bakersfield-Lost Hills) within the past 90 days?

---

Exhibit 3.1.9 Kern Transit Usage

- Yes, 52.5%
- No, 47.5%

*n = 59*

---

**Question 9:** On average, how many one-way rides do you make each week on either of these Kern Transit routes?

---

Exhibit 3.1.10 Frequency of Kern Transit Use

- Less than 1 trip per week: 12.9%
- 1 to 2 trips per week: 58.1%
- 3 to 4 trips per week: 19.4%
- 5 or more trips per week: 9.7%

*n = 31*
Question 10: How many adults (age 18 and above) reside within your household?

Exhibit 3.1.11 Adults/Household

Question 11: How many personal vehicles are available to your household?

Exhibit 3.1.12 Access to a Vehicle
Question 12: What is your current employment status?

Exhibit 3.1.13 Employment Status

- Employed full-time: 6.8%
- Employed part-time: 10.2%
- Student: 3.4%
- Not employed: 27.1%
- Decline to respond: 5.1%
- Retired: 55.9%

$n = 59$

Question 13: If employed, what is the zip code of your primary work location?

Exhibit 3.1.14 Employment Location

- 93280 (Wasco): 100.0%

$n = 11$
**Question 14: What is your age?**

![Bar chart showing age distribution](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 to 24</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 or older</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decline to respond</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 15: Which languages are commonly spoken within your household? (Select all that apply)**

![Pie chart showing language distribution](image)

- English: 66.1%
- Spanish: 49.2%

n = 59
SECTION 3.2 – COMMUNITY SURVEY

In Spring 2016, the consultant team conducted a community survey as part of the City of Wasco’s Transit Development Plan. The survey was designed to identify community mobility need, perceptions of the City’s transit services among riders and non-riders, and barriers to use as well as potential motivators for use. Such data can help guide service evaluation and identify potential areas of improvement.

Survey Development and Administration

The Community Survey was developed in conjunction with Kern Council of Governments (Kern COG) and City staff. Once the instrument was approved, it was translated into Spanish. Moore & Associates uploaded both the English and Spanish versions of the survey instrument to Survey Monkey to support complementary online data collection. The survey instrument utilized skip logic to ask specific questions of categories of respondents, including questions for non-riders and questions for Dial-A-Ride riders.

The survey collection effort garnered 133 valid surveys, translating to a statistical accuracy of 95 percent and a margin of error of ±9 percent.

Data Processing

All survey data was entered into Survey Monkey using trained data entry personnel. Moore & Associates was responsible for the data entry process, reviewing data entry work on a daily basis while also conducting spot-checks throughout each day.

Data cleaning was undertaken by trained personnel following completion of data entry. This process resolved variations in data formatting that resulted in identical responses being sorted as different (i.e., “Bakersfield-The Marketplace” and “Bakersfield-marketplace” were rationalized to provide a single response). The cleaned data was then imported into a Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) database for further analysis.

The SPSS database allowed the consultant team to compile simple frequencies as well as perform data cross-tabulations within each dataset. Data cross-tabulations allow comparisons between survey responses, thereby providing additional insight into customer demographics, travel patterns, perceptions of service, and service satisfaction.

Key Findings

Respondents to the Community Survey reported modest use of the City’s transit program. Of the 26.3 percent who indicated having used the City’s Dial-A-Ride service in the 90 days prior to survey contact, 67.7 percent were either satisfied or very satisfied in the service.

The primary barrier to ridership includes a preference for personal vehicles.

Slightly more than 73 percent of respondents were aware of Kern Transit Route 110 and/or Route 115. Nearly 26 percent of respondents had ridden either Route 110 or Route 115 within the 90 days prior to survey contact.

Travel preferences
Survey respondents were asked questions regarding their travel patterns and trip preferences. Among the findings:

- Top travel purposes for public transit services were shopping (38.3 percent), healthcare/medical (33.8 percent), and travel to/from work (12.8 percent).
- Personal vehicles were overwhelmingly preferred as a primary means of transportation (74.4 percent).
- Top motivators for increasing transit usage were loss of personal vehicle (45.1 percent), loss of drivers license (27.1 percent), and more Saturday service (20.3 percent).

Transit awareness

The Community Survey included a series of questions related to awareness of transit marketing and information. Among the findings:

- Respondents indicated high awareness of Wasco Dial-A-Ride (94.0 percent).
- Respondents indicated moderate level of awareness of both Kern Transit Routes 110 and 115 (57.6 percent).

Demographics

- Slightly more than 45 percent of respondents commonly speak Spanish at home.
- Nearly 58 percent of respondents were between 25 to 64 years of age.
- Slightly more than half of respondents (50.8 percent) reported being actively employed (full and part-time). Slightly more than 27 percent of respondents reported being retired.
- Nearly 69 percent of respondents reported working in Wasco. Shafter was the second-most common city of employment (15.6 percent).
- Nearly 15 percent of respondents indicated absence of personal vehicle.
Question 1: Are you aware the City of Wasco operates a Dial-A-Ride service?

Exhibit 3.2.1  Awareness of DAR

- Aware, 94.0%
- Not aware, 6.0%

n = 133

Question 2: Have you used Wasco’s Dial-A-Ride within the past 90 days?

Exhibit 3.2.2  Frequency of Use

- Yes, 26.3%
- No, 73.7%

n = 133
Question 3: If yes, what is your overall satisfaction with Wasco Dial-A-Ride?

Exhibit 3.2.3  Satisfaction with DAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 4: Are you aware Kern Transit operates an intercity service linking Bakersfield and Delano (Route 110), as well as from Bakersfield to Lost Hills (Route 115), which includes a stop in Wasco?

Exhibit 3.2.4  Awareness of Kern Transit

- Aware of both: 57.6%
- Aware of Route 115, but not Route 110: 3.8%
- Aware of Route 110, but not Route 115: 12.1%
- Not aware of either: 26.5%
**Question 5: Have you used Kern Transit Route 110 or Kern Transit Route 115 within the past 90 days?**

Exhibit 3.2.5  Kern Transit Usage

- Yes, 25.8%
- No, 74.2%

\[ n = 132 \]

**Question 6: If yes, what is your overall satisfaction with Kern Transit?**

Exhibit 3.2.6  Satisfaction with Kern Transit

- Very satisfied: 43.8%
- Satisfied: 34.4%
- Somewhat satisfied: 18.8%
- Not satisfied: 3.1%

\[ n = 32 \]
Question 7: Which of the following could increase your use of the City's Dial-A-Ride or Kern Transit's intercity service?

![Bar chart showing motivators for more frequent usage]

- If easier to use: 15.8%
- Loss of personal vehicle: 45.1%
- Loss of driver license: 27.1%
- If it were less expensive: 11.3%
- An increase in fuel prices: 8.3%
- If it was paid for by employer: 2.3%
- If it took me directly to work: 7.5%
- Later weekday service: 13.5%
- More Saturday service: 20.3%
- Nothing could make me ride: 4.5%
- Bench at Walmart: 1.5%
- Earlier start time: 0.8%
- Fixed-route service: 0.8%
- More frequent service: 0.8%
- Direct service to medical clinics: 0.8%
- Other: 9.0%

n = 133

Question 8: If you selected "If it took me directly to work", please specify the location (address or cross-streets).

![Table showing location of employment]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Location</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Corporation</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasco State Prison</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question 9:** If you selected "Later weekday service", please specify the times on weekdays.

**Exhibit 3.2.9 Extended Weekday Hours**

- Until 5:00 p.m.: 12.5%
- Until 6:00 p.m.: 12.5%
- Until 7:00 p.m.: 12.5%
- Until 9:00 p.m.: 25.0%
- Until 10:00 p.m.: 12.5%
- After 6:00 p.m. from Bakersfield to Wasco: 12.5%
- After 5:00 p.m. on DAR: 12.5%

**Question 10:** If you selected "More Saturday service", please specify the Saturday times.

**Exhibit 3.2.10 Extended Saturday Hours**

- 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.: 30.0%
- 9:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m.: 10.0%
- 4:00 p.m.: 10.0%
- All-day service: 10.0%
- Late Saturday hours (not specified): 10.0%
- Morning hours (not specified): 20.0%
- More frequent stop times: 10.0%

**n = 8, n = 10**
Question 11: If you ride either the City’s Dial-A-Ride or Kern Transit’s intercity service, what is your most common trip purpose? (select up to two)

Exhibit 3.2.11 Primary Trip Purpose

- Shopping: 38.3%
- Healthcare/medical: 33.8%
- Work: 12.8%
- School: 9.0%
- Social/recreation: 9.8%
- Other: 3.8%

n = 133

Question 12: How many adults (age 18 and older) reside within your household?

Exhibit 3.2.12 Household Size

- 1 adult: 39.3%
- 2 adults: 23.9%
- 3 adults: 17.1%
- 4 adults: 11.1%
- 5 adults: 3.4%
- 6 adults: 2.6%
- 7 adults: 1.7%
- 8 or more adults: 0.9%

n = 117
**Question 13:** How many personal vehicles are available to your household?

Exhibit 3.2.13 Vehicle Availability

**Question 14:** What is your primary means of transportation?

Exhibit 3.2.14 Means of Transportation
**Question 15: What is your current employment status?**

Exhibit 3.2.15  Employment Status

- Employed full-time: 35.2%
- Employed part-time: 15.6%
- Student: 4.7%
- Not employed: 13.3%
- Retired: 27.3%
- Decline to respond: 3.9%

*n = 128*

**Question 16: If employed, what is the zip code of your primary work location?**

Exhibit 3.2.16  Work Zip Code

- 93220 (Edison): 3.1%
- 93249 (Lost Hills): 3.1%
- 93263 (Shafter): 15.6%
- 93280 (Wasco): 68.8%
- 93305 (Bakersfield): 3.1%
- 93312 (Bakersfield): 6.3%

*n = 32*
**Question 17: What is your age?**

Exhibit 3.2.17 Respondent Age

![Bar chart showing age distribution with percentages for different age groups: 18 to 24 (13.0%), 25 to 44 (27.6%), 45 to 64 (30.1%), 65 or older (27.6%), and Decline to respond (1.6%). Total respondents: n = 123.]

**Question 18: Which languages are commonly spoken within your household? (Select all that apply)**

Exhibit 3.2.18 Languages Spoken at Home

![Bar chart showing language distribution with percentages for English (72.9%), Spanish (45.1%), and Punjabi (1.5%). Total respondents: n = 133.]
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SECTION 3.3 – ROUTE 110 SURVEY

A survey was administered among riders onboard Kern Transit-Route 110 on March 9 and March 10, 2016. The survey was intended to identify travel patterns, frequency of use, and potential demand.

Methodology
The bilingual (English and Spanish) customer survey instrument was conducted using intercept methodology onboard Kern Transit Route 110. Moore & Associates’ staff distributed surveys to riders waiting for – as well as those onboard – a Kern Transit-Route 110 vehicle, or they assisted the respondent in the completion of a survey. We also surveyed transit riders at both the Shafter city hall bus stop and the Wasco Amtrak station.

In conducting the survey, trained surveyor staff introduced themselves on behalf of Kern Council of Government (Kern COG) and asked for the respondent’s permission to conduct the survey. Upon agreeing, a surveyor assisted the respondent in completing a survey.

The survey garnered 49 valid responses, a 27-percent response given an average daily ridership of 180.

Summary
To gain further insight into Kern Transit Route 110 users, a series of questions was asked of transit riders. Through analysis of data frequencies, Moore & Associates compiled a profile of the “typical” travel patterns for a Route 110 customer:

- Board the bus in either Shafter or Wasco (75 percent),
- Board the bus at Wasco Amtrak Station (18.4 percent),
- More than half of riders (52.6 percent) have their final destination in Bakersfield,
- Rides Route 110 three to five days per week (56.3 percent),
- Does not have access to a vehicle as the primary reason for riding Route 110 (66.7 percent),
- Pays cash to purchase bus fare (95.8 percent), and
- Connects with either Shafter or Wasco Dial-A-Ride service (56.2 percent).
Question 1: Please tell us where you normally board Kern Transit’s Route 110. Provide an address or nearest cross-streets.

Exhibit 3.3.1 Boarding Address

- CA State Route 43 at Poso Drive (Wasco): 10.0%
- Central Valley Highway at Pacific Avenue (Shafter): 50.0%
- Chester Avenue at 22nd Street (Bakersfield): 2.5%
- Chester Avenue at Truxtun (Bakersfield): 2.5%
- F Street at Poso Drive (Wasco): 7.5%
- G Street at 7th Street (Wasco): 25.0%
- Mount Vernon Ave. Downtown (Delano): 2.5%

Exhibit 3.3.2 Boarding Location

- GET Downtown Transit Center...: 4.1%
- Bakersfield: 6.1%
- Central Tulare: 2.0%
- Delano: 2.0%
- Delano Transit Center: 2.0%
- Shafter: 4.1%
- Shafter City Hall: 44.9%
- Shafter Water & Power: 2.0%
- Wasco: 14.3%
- Wasco Amtrak Station: 18.4%
**Question 2: Please tell us the location where you normally get off the Kern Transit Route 110. Provide an address or nearest cross-streets.**

![Exhibit 3.3.3 Drop-off Address](image)

![Exhibit 3.3.4 Drop-off Location](image)
**Question 3: In a typical week, how many one-way trips do you make on Kern Transit’s Route 110?**

![Exhibit 3.3.5 Number of One-Way Trips](image)

**Question 4: What is the most common reason you ride Kern Transit’s Route 110?**

![Exhibit 3.3.6 Reason for Riding](image)
Question 5: How do you normally pay for your bus ride?

Exhibit 3.3.7 Fare Type

- Pre-paid fare ticket, 4.2%
- Cash, 95.8%

$n = 48$

Question 6: Does your travel on Kern Transit’s Route 110 usually include a connection to or from the following (mark all that apply):

Exhibit 3.3.8 Connection with Other Public Transit Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Shafter Dial-A-Ride</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Wasco Dial-A-Ride</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another Kern Transit bus</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Empire Transit</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$n = 48$
SECTION 3.4 – COMMUNITY MEETING

Community meeting location:

Saturday, April 16, 2016

- Old Courthouse Building
  810 8th Street, Wasco
  10:00 am – 11:30 am

A summary of the consultant’s proposed recommendations as well as a copy of the workshop presentation were provided at the beginning of the community meeting. The presentation summarized project goals, community engagement activities, community input received, proposed service recommendations, and project next steps. A discussion of proposed service recommendations based on community feedback from prior surveys, offered attendees an opportunity to provide feedback to the consultants, the City, or Kern Transit staff. Additional workshop materials provided included comment cards, and Kern Transit Route 110 and Route 115 schedules.

A summary of comments and questions arising from the community workshops follows:

- Request for amenities (bench and transit shelter) at Walmart (401 N Central Avenue) and Fiesta Supermarket (915 Poso Drive) pick-up locations in Wasco.
- Request for Wasco Dial-A-Ride requests up to one hour before and after service ends.
- Question regarding “time gap” between morning and evening service hours for Kern Transit Route 110 and Route 115. Kern Transit staff responded demand is lower during this time period. Important to allocate resources which result in greatest value.
- Request for later evening Route 110 trip departing Bakersfield. Current departure is too early (departs Bakersfield at 5:40 pm).
- Kern Transit working on installing service information at its Wasco bus stops.
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CHAPTER 4

SERVICE RECOMMENDATIONS

In 1980, the City of Wasco began operating a door-to-door, general public demand-response service. The service is comprised of a reservation-based shared ride service that operates within Wasco city limits.

The recommendations presented herein reflect an objective and comprehensive evaluation of Wasco’s demand-response service known as Dial-A-Ride (DAR). Our evaluation included a site visit, interviews with City staff, a rider survey, a community survey, and a community meeting.

Exhibit 4.1 presents the service recommendations matrix categorized by type (administrative, operations, or capital) and catalyst for each recommendation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Implement a 24-hour advanced reservation system.</td>
<td>Prior TDP recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Develop and implement a marketing plan to increase ridership and fare revenue.</td>
<td>Prior TDP recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Develop a bilingual (English/Spanish) service brochure to include program policies and basic fare/service information.</td>
<td>Consultant recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Increase the price of the Adult 13-Ride Pass to $20.00 to be more consistent with the discount offered on the Senior/Disabled 11-Ride Pass.</td>
<td>Consultant recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Revise fare policy to allow two children (age 4 and under) to ride free with each fare-paying adult.</td>
<td>Consultant recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Adopt and enforce formal carry-on bag policy.</td>
<td>Community input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>Create a dispatcher/driver position to ensure service coverage.</td>
<td>Consultant recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>Implement a 90-day pilot program offering Saturday service from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. (using one vehicle).</td>
<td>Community input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>Extend weekday service hours to begin at 7:00 a.m. and end at 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Community input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>Recruit part-time drivers to provide additional coverage for extended weekday hours and Saturday service.</td>
<td>Consultant recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital/Operations</td>
<td>Participate in the RTPA’s Active Transportation Plan and implement infrastructure to support active transportation.</td>
<td>Community input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>Install bus stop amenities and provide local service information at Kern Transit connection points in Wasco.</td>
<td>Consultant recommendation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 4.1 Service Recommendations
Administrative

**Implement a 24-hour Advance Reservation System**

The implementation of a 24-hour advanced reservation system would make scheduling a trip more attractive for both existing and potential riders. This would allow the City to organize trips in a more efficient manner, saving on fuel and maintenance while providing the users with quick and reliable trips. We recommend the City purchase message recording software to provide residents with the opportunity during closed-business hours to reserve a ride in advance. Same-day requests would still be provided, but on a space-available basis. This recommendation also appears in the City’s prior Transit Development Plan (TDP).

**Develop and Implement Marketing Plan**

Based upon responses from public outreach efforts current marketing and outreach efforts are not deemed to be effective in promoting the City’s existing transit services. The City should develop and implement a marketing plan that effectively promotes and educates the public on available transit services. The City’s transit service should be clearly branded and identified whenever the program is referenced. Additional print collateral clearly presenting the service’s “rules of the road,” program hours of operation, cost to ride the services, and applicable Title VI and ADA policies, should be readily available throughout the community. Initial distribution location should include city hall, grocery stores, schools, and various community outlets.

A successfully developed and implemented Marketing Plan provides a strategic approach to enhancing a transit program’s image and awareness within the service area. It identifies objectives, target markets and specific strategies to enhancing the system’s image and ridership. The Marketing Plan should also include cost estimates to implement the recommendations, along with timeframes for implementation.

**Develop a Bilingual Service Brochure**

We recommend the City develop and distribute a bilingual Dial-A-Ride service brochure. A service brochure is an effective way to inform and educate the community about the services provided. The brochure also acts as a marketing tool providing visibility to the service, in-turn increasing ridership and revenue.

Providing the service brochure as bilingual will enhance the community’s image as inclusive. According to the American Community Survey, more than 75 percent of Wasco residents are Hispanic or Latino, while the Community Survey (Chapter 3) revealed 45 percent of the community spoke Spanish at home. A bilingual service brochure will create good-will throughout the community and open up the service to potential new riders.

**Fare Pass Increase**

Currently, the fare for an Adult 13-Ride Pass is $15.00. The discount for an adult purchasing this fare pass is $7.75 (Adult one-way fare is $1.75). In comparison, the fare for a Senior/Disabled 11-Ride Pass is $10.00 (Senior/Disabled one-way fare is $1.00), for a discount of one dollar. We recommend the City raise the fare for the Adult 13-Ride Pass to $20.00 to be more in-line with the discount provided by the Senior/Disabled 11-Ride Pass. Any initial loss in ridership experienced by the City due to the Adult Pass fare increase would likely be negated by increased revenue from the price change.
Revise Fare Policy to Allow Two Children to Ride Free with Fare-Paying Adult
Currently, a one-way fare for children (age 4 and under) is 25 cents. Neighboring transit programs in Taft (age 5 and under) and Delano (age 5 and under) provide similar services as the Wasco Dial-A-Ride, with children riding for free with a fare-paying adult. We recommend Wasco Dial-A-Ride offer a similar service to neighboring communities, allowing children, age four and under (two per one adult), to ride at no-cost. The new fare policy would increases overall ridership.

Adopt and Enforce Formal Carry-on Bag Policy
Currently, Wasco does not have a formal policy regarding the number of bags a passenger is able to carry on a transit vehicle. There is not a limit to the number of bags a passenger can carry on. However, if a passenger has enough bags that warrant the use of an empty seat, he or she is will be charged an additional one-way fare for use of the extra seat. We recommend the City implement and drivers enforce a two-bag carry-on limit per passenger. The two-bag limit promotes safety practices on-board all transit vehicles while also preserving seat-space for other passengers.

Operations
Create a Dispatcher/Driver Position
Currently, Wasco Transit utilizes a driver self-dispatching system in which each driver takes trip requests by radio-phones. Drivers are required to pull over to answer customer trip requests, plan, and program such trip requests into daily manifests, and then continue picking up and dropping off customers. This can be viewed as a safety risk as well as inefficient dispatch policy. A dedicated dispatcher position will provide immediate increases in service delivery efficiency, ranging from increased responsiveness to customer trip requests and compliments/complaints/concerns, as well as improved routing, trip prioritization, and scheduling of driver breaks. Further, this position would serve as an emergency/relief driver in case of driver sick-outs or vacation, and could also assist the City’s program coordinator in compiling, evaluation, and reporting on program performance. While a full-time position is recommended for implementation as quickly as possible, the City could elect to initiate the position as a part-time position during weekday peak periods to reduce immediate impacts to the City’s operating budget.

Trial Saturday Service
Through evaluation of completed public outreach, we recommend the City develop and implement a 90-day pilot program for Saturday Dial-A-Ride service. The service would operate from 8 am to 3 pm, and be limited to a single vehicle until the end of the demonstration period. The Saturday driver would self-dispatch (eliminating the additional need/cost of a Saturday dispatcher) and depending on demand could also begin taking reservations for customer trips the following Monday. Initial service would be provided from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. with a break in service during the midday for driver lunch. Demonstration project operating costs would need to be tracked independently of regular weekday service, to ensure the additional operating costs incurred do not negatively impact the required farebox ratio.

Extend Weekday Service
Through community outreach it was revealed that sufficient demand exits to warrant extending current Dial-A-Ride hours to begin at 7:00 a.m. each weekday morning (versus the current 8:00 a.m.), and extend service to 5:00 p.m. each weekday evening (versus the current 3:30 p.m.). Further, extending current service hours during the week will result in addressing temporal gaps identified through the
public outreach process within the service area. Only one vehicle is recommended for the extension service hours until community demand warrants further increases in service delivery.

Hire Part-Time Drivers
With the recommended increase in service hours, Wasco would need to hire one full-time equivalent (FTE) driver to handle additional trip requests during extended weekday service hours and Saturday service hours.

Capital/Operations
Develop and Implement Active Transportation Policy
In support of Caltrans latest Alternative Transportation Policy (ATP) we recommend the City actively participate in the Regional Transportation Planning Agency’s (RTPA) development of an ATP, and the infrastructure to support the resulting Plan. The most difficult aspect of a transit rider’s travel is the first and last mile of his or her commute. To alleviate those concerns we recommend the City consider purchasing bike racks for both transit vehicles and transit customer amenities (sidewalk furniture) at current Kern Transit connection points, at high-volume transit activity locations (i.e., schools, city hall), and at public spaces along the City boundary. We also recommend the City consider installation of sidewalk connections at those high-demand DAR pick-up locations. Bike racks, bike and sidewalk amenities, combined with Wasco DAR will create needed intermodal facilities throughout the community, providing residents with travel options beyond the single-occupant vehicle.

Capital
Bus Stop Amenities
Transit amenities at bus stop locations help promote safety and comfort as well as provide the opportunity to inform the public about the route and schedule and build awareness of the system. Signed bus stops and bus shelters can play a key role in the success of the transit program. It is recommended that the City purchase and install a total of four bus shelters. Specifically, install two bus shelters at high-volume transit activity locations (i.e., schools, city hall) as well as two bus shelters at Kern Transit stops (“F” Street at Poso Drive and Walmart on State Highway 46). We also recommend that the City initiate discussions with Kern Transit about sharing the cost of purchasing bus shelters to be installed at Kern Transit stops.
CHAPTER 5
CAPITAL AND FINANCIAL PLANS

This section presents capital requirements and five-year operating budget projections required to support the proposed recommendations.

This section is composed of:
- A Capital Plan forecasting capital costs associated with implementing the proposed recommendations, and
- A Financial Plan estimating the cost of implementing the proposed recommendations.

SECTION 5.1 – CAPITAL PLAN

The Capital Plan identifies cost figures for the proposed service recommendations. To support the operational recommendations within this chapter, we developed a fleet replacement strategy that will ensure sustainable provision of public transit service in Wasco. A discussion of alternative fuel vehicles and capital funding sources follows.

Federal Capital Funding Sources

Various capital grant programs are available to public transit agencies through the federal government’s Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) legislation. These grants range from Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5339 which allocates capital funding to replace, rehabilitate, and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities, to FTA Section 5311 which provides funding to support public transit in rural areas.

The City of Wasco is eligible for transit capital grants through FTA Section 5311. Wasco currently collects only Section 5311 funding for operating costs. Its transit program does not receive, nor is it eligible for, any other federal sources of operating funds.

Sections 5311 and 5339 are the most likely sources for future capital funding for the City’s transit fleet and for bus stop amenity replacement and expansion.

The State’s Funding Sources

The Transportation Development Act (TDA) provides two important sources of funding for public transportation: The Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and the State Transit Assistance (STA) fund. LTF revenues are derived from one-quarter cent of the general statewide sales tax and are returned by the State to the county in which the fees were collected. STA revenues are derived from the sales tax on gasoline and diesel fuel.

The allocation of LTF and STA funds is subject to the statutory and regulatory provisions of the TDA, which includes achievement of a 10-percent farebox recovery ratio.

TDA funding cited here is from the LTF, which is administered through the Kern Council of Governments. Estimates for LTF funds have been generated based upon historic allocations.
Proposition 1B

Proposition 1B, the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006, was approved by California voters in November 2006. This legislation authorizes the sale of $19.925 billion in state general obligations bonds. Those monies are held in fourteen different accounts across three categories: Transportation; Air Quality; and Safety, Security, and Disaster Preparedness. Sale of the bonds is subject to annual appropriations in the State budget and is expected to be spread across various fiscal years.

Bond proceeds fund several specific transportation programs, from which Kern County and each city will receive a specific amount, by formula. The transit-related programs include:

- Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA); and

PTMISEA allocated $3.6 billion to transit operators nationally over a ten-year period. These funds may be used for transit rehabilitation, safety or modernization improvements, capital service enhancements or expansion, new capital projects, bus rapid transit improvements, or rolling stock (buses and rail cars) procurement, rehabilitation or replacement. Eligible projects must also have a useful life not less than the required useful life for capital assets pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond (Government Code Section 16727 (a)) and be consistent with the organization’s most recently adopted transit plan or other publicly adopted plan that programs or prioritizes transit capital improvement funds.

California Government Code Section 16727 (a) states:

- Proceeds from the sale of any bonds issued pursuant to this chapter shall be used only for the costs of construction or acquisition of capital assets. "Capital assets" mean tangible physical property with an expected useful life of 15 years or more. "Capital assets" also means tangible physical property with an expected useful life of 10 to 15 years, but these costs may not exceed 10 percent of the bond proceeds net of all issuance costs. "Capital assets" include major maintenance, reconstruction, demolition for purposes of reconstruction of facilities, and retrofitting work that is ordinarily done no more often than once every 5 to 15 years or expenditures that continue or enhance the useful life of the capital asset. "Capital assets" also include equipment with an expected useful life of two years or more. Costs allowable under this section include costs incidentally but directly related to construction or acquisition, including, but not limited to, planning, engineering, construction management, architectural, and other design work, environmental impact reports and assessments, required mitigation expenses, appraisals, legal expenses, site acquisitions, and necessary easements.

Should similar regional funding streams such as Proposition 1B be made available in the future, it will be worth reviewing for possible additional avenues of capital project funding.
The Capital Plan presented in Exhibit 5.2 reflects the capital purchases necessary to maintain the current transit program while also implementing the proposed service recommendations. It addresses the necessary acquisition of vehicles and bus stop amenities (i.e., bus stop shelters) each fiscal year, as well as the value of replacement vehicles when the useful life (5 years or 150,000 miles) of the new vehicles has been reached.

We recommend the City consider the installation of four bus shelters at high-volume transit activity locations (i.e., schools, retail stores, city hall) as well as Kern Transit connection points. Bus shelters would enhance the customer experience and could potentially improve program efficiency. We also recommend the City install bike racks on all transit vehicles and bike amenities (sidewalk furniture) at locations throughout the community. The City may want to initiate discussions with Kern Transit regarding cost-sharing opportunities for bus stop amenities proposed and installed at Kern Transit connection points.

### Exhibit 5.1 Federal Capital Funding Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>Grant Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5311 Rural and Small Urban Areas</td>
<td>This is a formula-based program for rural communities (population less than 50,000) providing funding to states for the purpose of supporting public transportation in rural/non-urbanized areas. The program improves access for people living in non-urbanized areas to health care, shopping, education, employment, public services, and recreation; assists in the maintenance, development, improvement, and use of public transportation systems; assists in intercity bus transportation development; and, provides for the participation of private transportation providers.</td>
<td>Funds may be used for capital, operating, and administrative assistance to state agencies, local public bodies, Indian tribes, and non-profit organizations, and operators of public transportation services.</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5339 Bus and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>Provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate, and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities. This program replaced Section 5309.</td>
<td>Capital projects to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses, vans, and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities.</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA Funds</td>
<td>Funds provided by the State of California for transportation projects. Funds are collected from California fuel taxes and sales revenue. Allocated through two main mechanism, STA funds and LTF funds.</td>
<td>LTF funds are used for TDA administration costs, as well as public transportation capital, operating and planning costs. STA funds may also be claimed for operating, capital, and planning projects and costs. LTF funds may be claimed by the RTPA (Kern COG) and STA funds may be claimed by operators of transportation programs directly.</td>
<td>Kern COG</td>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposition 1B</td>
<td>A measure allocating approximately $20 billion for a wide range of transportation projects within California, such as public transportation, congestion mitigation, air quality improvements, and transportation safety issues.</td>
<td>Funds may be used for congestion reduction, highway and local road improvements, capital improvements to local transit services and the state’s intercity rail service, projects to improve the movement of goods, to improve air quality by reducing emissions related to goods movement and replacing or retrofitting school buses, and projects to increase protection against a security threat or improve disaster response capabilities.</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Federal Transit Administration*
## Exhibit 5.2 Capital Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Cost/Unit</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Cost/Unit</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fleet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 Ford E450**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$72,000</td>
<td>$72,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Chevy 5500**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Chevy 5500**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$114,444</td>
<td>$114,444</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Ford E150XL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$182,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus Stops</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10,384</td>
<td>$10,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Racks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$611</td>
<td>$611</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$623</td>
<td>$623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Amenities (Sidewalk furniture)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,057</td>
<td>$3,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$3,668</td>
<td>$3,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$182,000</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$118,112</td>
<td>$118,112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes bench, shelter, and signage placement.

**Replacement vehicles will be ADA accessible.
SECTION 5.2 – FINANCIAL PLAN

The Financial Plan forecasts the costs of implementing the recommendations included within this TDP. The Plan presents anticipated funding sources for the implementation of the service recommendations.

Operating Funding Sources

Numerous operational grant programs are available to public transit operators through the Federal government’s Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) legislation. These grants include Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5311 which allocates funding for transit program operating in communities with 50,000 residents or less. Currently, the City of Wasco is eligible and utilizes operational grants through FTA Section 5311 as well as STA funds. The Federal Transit Administration allows transit operators to use Section 5311 funds to cover up to 50 percent of annual operating costs, while the other 50 percent is to be collected through a local match. In FY 2015/2016, the City is budgeting for Section 5311 funding amounting to approximately twenty percent of its total operating budget.

Funding sources listed in both Exhibits 5.1 and 5.3 are not mutually exclusive, and total funds available may apply to both capital and operational allocations. What this means is that if the City elects to use all Section 5311 funds for capital expenses, it would have no funding available for operating purposes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>Grant Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5311 Rural and Small Urban Areas</td>
<td>Provides operating funding to states for the purpose of supporting public transportation in areas less than 50,000 residents. Enhances mobility of local residents and assists in the maintenance, development, improvement, and use of public transportation systems.</td>
<td>Funds may be used for capital, operating, and administrative assistance to state agencies, local public bodies, Indian tribes, and non-profit organizations, and operators of public transportation services.</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA Funds</td>
<td>Funds provided by the State of California for transportation projects. Funds are collected from California fuel taxes and sales revenue. Allocated through two main mechanism, STA funds and LTF funds.</td>
<td>LTF funds are used for TDA administration costs, as well as public transportation capital, operating and planning costs. STA funds may also be claimed for operating, capital, and planning projects and costs. LTF funds may be claimed by the RTPA (Kern COG) and STA funds may be claimed by operators of transportation programs directly.</td>
<td>Kern COG</td>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Administrative Recommendations

Exhibit 5.4 presents the estimated annual cost for hiring a full-time dispatcher/driver as well as a full-time driver, as part of the proposed service recommendations. The cost reflects full-time salary plus all costs to the City (i.e., benefits).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fiscal Impact</th>
<th>Annual Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dispatcher/Driver (1.0 FTE)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$476,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver (1.0 FTE)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$451,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$501,666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FY 2015/2016
Operational Recommendations

The following table presents the necessary operating hours and projected costs for the proposed additional revenue hours.

---

### Exhibit 5.5 Operating Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current/Baseline</th>
<th>Extended Hours</th>
<th>Cost/VSH**</th>
<th>Annual Operating Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAR Weekday</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,848</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAR Weekend*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,848</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2,704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents 90-day trial period.

**Cost ratio derived from FY 2015 State Controller Report data.

---

Exhibit 5.6 presents the five-year City of Wasco financial plan. The City revenue sources are listed at the top of the table and include fare revenue, State Transit Assistance funds (STAF), FTA Section 5311, and Grant funding. All expenses are listed on the bottom of Exhibit 5.6, and include administrative, operating, special payments, transfers, and capital outlay (i.e., transit vehicles, bus stop shelters). Administrative costs are included within operating costs. These expenses include both known and projected costs.

Five-year operating expenses have been developed using the following assumptions:

1. All recommendations would be implemented.
2. Purchases of replacement vehicles would take place during the fiscal year identified in the Capital Plan.
3. Other capital purchases would take place during the fiscal year identified in the Capital Plan.
4. Operational costs are based on City-provided data and State Controller Report data.
5. The annual rate of inflation is projected at two percent per annum.
6. Ridership is projected to increase two percent per annum.
7. Future STAF funding increase at rate of inflation, two percent per annum.
8. Any operating expenses not covered through farebox recovery or federal/state operating funds (i.e., Section 5311, STAF funding) will be covered through Local Subsidy (i.e., transfers from general fund).
9. All capital expenses will be covered through federal capital funds.
Exhibit 5.6  Financial Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,500</td>
<td>$26,010</td>
<td>$26,530</td>
<td>$27,061</td>
<td>$27,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAF Funds</td>
<td>$128,000</td>
<td>$146,700</td>
<td>$149,634</td>
<td>$152,627</td>
<td>$155,679</td>
<td>$158,793</td>
<td>$161,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 5311</td>
<td>$101,408</td>
<td>$84,805</td>
<td>$86,501</td>
<td>$88,231</td>
<td>$89,996</td>
<td>$91,796</td>
<td>$93,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$172,596</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasco Local Subsidy</td>
<td>($539)</td>
<td>($172,883)</td>
<td>($189,749)</td>
<td>($288,196)</td>
<td>($184,492)</td>
<td>($246,685)</td>
<td>($191,923)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$254,947</td>
<td>$601,984</td>
<td>$451,384</td>
<td>$555,063</td>
<td>$456,697</td>
<td>$524,334</td>
<td>$475,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Costs</td>
<td>$195,520</td>
<td>$370,238</td>
<td>$377,643</td>
<td>$385,196</td>
<td>$392,900</td>
<td>$400,758</td>
<td>$408,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$195,520</td>
<td>$370,238</td>
<td>$377,643</td>
<td>$385,196</td>
<td>$392,900</td>
<td>$400,758</td>
<td>$408,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$182,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$114,444</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$65,921</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Shelters</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$10,384</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$10,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Racks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$611</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$103</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Amenities (Sidewalk furniture)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,057</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3,174</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$182,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$118,112</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$69,730</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Payments</td>
<td>$6,734</td>
<td>$740</td>
<td>$755</td>
<td>$770</td>
<td>$785</td>
<td>$801</td>
<td>$817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>$52,693</td>
<td>$49,006</td>
<td>$49,986</td>
<td>$50,986</td>
<td>$52,006</td>
<td>$53,046</td>
<td>$54,107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$59,427</td>
<td>$49,746</td>
<td>$50,741</td>
<td>$51,756</td>
<td>$52,791</td>
<td>$53,847</td>
<td>$54,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures</td>
<td>$254,947</td>
<td>$601,984</td>
<td>$451,384</td>
<td>$555,063</td>
<td>$456,697</td>
<td>$524,334</td>
<td>$475,125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Resources Required

**Fare**
Currently, the City of Wasco offers an Adult 13-ride pass for fifteen dollars ($1.75/one-way fare) while the Seniors & Disabled discount 11-rides pass costs $10 ($1/one-way fare). In an effort to provide similar discount rates for both fare passes, we recommend the City increase the price of the Adult 13-ride pass to twenty dollars. This will provide additional revenue to the City while still providing a discount to residents.

**Marketing**
In an effort to provide a safe, sustainable, integrated and efficient transportation system to enhance California’s economy and livability, Caltrans has developed a Sustainable Transportation Planning Grant Program. Annually, Caltrans accepts applications for a variety of transportation related projects, including transit planning and marketing. The demographic composition of the City of Wasco (low-income, racially/ethnically diverse, aging population, possible language barriers) presents a strong case for grant funding through this program. It is our recommendation that the City submit an application to fund the development of a Marketing Plan as proposed in the Service Recommendations chapter. A successfully developed and implemented Marketing Plan would provide a strategic approach to enhancing public transit program’s image and awareness within the community.
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CHAPTER 6
IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

The primary goal of this section is to craft a strategic series of steps for the timely implementation of the proposed service recommendations. The Implementation Plan provides a schedule of key tasks associated with the steps necessary to enact each service recommendation. Each step provides a brief explanation detailing the required resources and allocation of those resources.

Both the administrative and operational recommendations will improve and enhance transit service efficiency, public awareness, as well as rider experience. These recommendations are as follows:

- Implement a 24-hour advanced reservation system;
- Develop and implement a marketing plan;
- Develop a bilingual service brochure;
- Increase the fare price of the Adult 13-Ride Pass;
- Revise fare policy to allow two children (age 4 and under) to ride free with each fare-paying adult;
- Adopt and enforce formal carry-on bag (two bags/passenger) policy;
- Create a dispatcher/driver position (1.0 FTE);
- Implement trial Saturday service;
- Extend weekday service;
- Recruit part-time drivers (1.0 FTE);
- Participate in the RTPA’s Active Transportation Plan and implement infrastructure to support active transportation; and
- Implement bus stop improvements.

Exhibit 6.1 presents the timeframe for implementation for each recommendation/task proposed above. The completion timelines for the respective service improvements are flexible. The duration of each service recommendation is proposed based upon grant application timelines, observations, and professional opinions. The hashed markings represent “variable” times on the calendar, indicating partial completion or initiation of a given recommendation/task. Tasks marked as “ongoing” are to be continued after initial inception has occurred.
### Exhibit 6.1 Service Recommendations Implementation Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quarter 1</td>
<td>Quarter 2</td>
<td>Quarter 3</td>
<td>Quarter 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Implement a 24-hour advance reservation system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Develop and implement a marketing plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Develop bilingual service brochure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Revise fare policy to allow children to ride free.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Adopt and enforce formal carry-on bag policy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Create and hire dispatcher/driver position.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Implement a trial Saturday service.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Extend weekday service.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Recruit part-time drivers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Participate in ATP and implement infrastructure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Implement bus stop improvements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**

- **Variable (i.e., entire quarter or year may not be necessary)**
- **Ongoing Task**
- **Begin-by date**
- **Complete-by date**
CHAPTER 7

STATE ROUTE 43 CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT

Background
The State Route 43 (SR-43) corridor included for study in this project originates west of Delano at Garces Highway (SR-155) and continues south to Rosedale Highway (SR-58) west of Bakersfield. The corridor includes Shafter and Wasco as well as a number of small, unincorporated communities. Key employers/activity generators along or adjacent to the corridor include the North Kern State Prison (Delano), Wasco State Prison, Supreme Almonds (Shafter), Nikkel Iron Works (Shafter), Starrh and Starrh Farms (Shafter), Shafter Community Correctional Center, Wonder Company Logistics Park (Shafter), Argo Chemical (Shafter), and Valley Pacific Petroleum Services (Bakersfield).

Intercity fixed-route service throughout the corridor is provided by Kern Transit’s Delano-Bakersfield route (Route 110) and Lost Hills-Bakersfield route (Route 115). At present, this is the only transit service offered along the SR-43 corridor, and both routes only operate on SR-43 between Wasco (SR-46) and Shafter (Lerdo Highway). The cities of Shafter and Wasco also provide local Dial-A-Ride service within their respective city limits. Wasco is also served by Amtrak’s San Joaquin Valley line.

At the time of the report preparation, Kern Transit was providing weekday roundtrips on its Route 110. The County expects to introduce a new transit fare policy later in 2016. As proposed, the new fare policy would introduce distance-based fares. Further, the County is considering adding an early evening round-trip (downtown Bakersfield to Delano, via Shafter and Wasco), and extending some Route 110 trips eastward to Bakersfield College’s main campus.

The Kern Council of Governments (Kern COG) oversees a regional rideshare program entitled CommuteKern. CommuteKern offers ridesharing resources and tools to aid employers as well as employees throughout the region. Services include carpool/vanpool ride-matching services, information regarding park-and-ride locations, and resources to assist in bicycle commuting. CommuteKern also provides assistance with teleworking/remote work options, and provides information and links to regional public transit providers, including the City of Wasco’s Dial-A-Ride. Further, CommuteKern provides opportunities for regional social-service organizations to partner with Kern COG to work towards the goal of improving air quality throughout the region.

Kern COG commissioned the assessment of the defined SR-43 Corridor with the following goals in mind:

- Reduce local and regional reliance upon single-occupancy vehicle trips;
- Increase mobility options for persons employed within the Corridor;
- Enhance the attractiveness of SR-43 corridor businesses as prospective employers.

Methodology
Moore & Associates worked closely with Kern COG, City of Wasco, and CommuteKern’s Regional Rideshare Coordinator Susanne Campbell, to successfully implement an outreach plan designed to
obtain feedback from SR-43 stakeholders. Stakeholders included nearby employers, residents, transit customers, and governmental/social service organizations.

Through multiple discussions and communications with CommuteKern, a list of potential stakeholders was developed and approved by the Project Steering Committee (PSC). In addition, Moore & Associates followed-up on initial conversations with a “windshield tour” of the specified SR-43 corridor, as well as the cities of Shafter and Wasco and nearby unincorporated communities along the corridor.

Moore & Associates developed an Employer Survey in early March 2016, which was distributed to all employers within the approved stakeholder list. A total of 28 employers were mailed a survey packet. Survey participation was incentivized via a $50 VISA gift card for the first ten respondents. Each organization was contacted via phone if a response was not received prior to the submittal deadline of March 18, 2016. Eighteen employers completed and submitted survey responses. Exhibit 7.1 presents a list of organizations contacted with the survey respondents highlighted in red.

Below are presented key findings from the analysis of the employer survey:

- Responding employers represent up to 2,600 employees.
- 33.3% of respondents operate multiple locations.
- All respondent employers offer year-round employment.
- 88.3% of respondents do not offer transportation assistance of any kind.
  - The primary reason cited is “No demand from employees.”
- Only 50% of respondents showed any interest in free rideshare information/programs.

The following exhibits present response frequencies to the employer survey.
Exhibit 7.2  Number of Employees

Exhibit 7.3  Workers at Additional Locations

Yes, 38.9%

No, 61.1%

n = 18
Exhibit 7.4 Typical Work Days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 18

Exhibit 7.5 Typical Work Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open 24 hours per day, 7 days per week</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open at 5:00 a.m.</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open at 6:00 a.m.</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open at 7:00 a.m.-7:30 a.m.</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open at 8:00 a.m.-8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open until 6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open until 10:00 p.m.</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 18
Next Steps

Given the relatively modest interest received from employers along the SR-43, it is critical that efforts be focused on the interested entities, and to provide a rapid response to said interest. Kern COG and CommuteKern should follow-up with the interested employers and refine exactly which ridesharing strategies are most attractive to them as employers as well as to their employees. A dedicated employee survey should be developed and distributed to all employees of interested organizations. The employee survey should be distributed within the current calendar year and be designed with the goals of identifying preferred ridesharing strategies, potential barriers to use, and preferred incentive/reward for participation. Subsequent to analysis of the employee survey, CommuteKern should work to identify an on-site program contact and representative to manage and spearhead ridesharing efforts within each organization.
High-Speed Rail
In July 2012, Senate Bill 1029 was signed into law, initiating the nation’s first-ever high-speed rail project. The high-speed rail project will span 800 miles, from Sacramento to San Diego, with up to 24 stations when completed. The project will also include a state-wide rail modernization component.

The San Joaquin Valley (specifically Kern County) will be part of the Initial Operating Section phase of the project, which will generate an estimated 20,000 jobs during the five-year construction forecast for completion by 2018. This phase will include dedicated high-speed rail infrastructure. However, due to the dynamic nature of the project and its many moving parts, timelines must be considered fluid.

As a direct benefit of the high-speed rail project, the city of Shafter could be selected for an intermodal high-speed rail heavy maintenance facility. This facility would result in additional permanent jobs to Wasco, Shafter, and the region.

Commuter Rail
With the high-speed rail project being signed into law, and the direct impact it would have on commuter rail service throughout the Central Valley and Kern County, Kern COG commissioned a Commuter Rail Feasibility Study to examine alternatives approaches to providing commuter rail service within Kern County. From this study, six potential commuter rail corridors were examined based on existing freight rail corridors. The objective of the study was to identify corridors with potential for future commuter rail service. The study also included potential future commuter rail station locations to serve these corridors.

The SR-43 Corridor is located along one of the six proposed commuter rail corridors (Northwest Corridor). Currently, there are only two Amtrak stops along the SR-43 corridor; one in Bakersfield and one in Wasco. Demand for increased commuter rail service within Kern County as well as the San Joaquin Valley has brought considerable attention to the need for additional Amtrak connections. In FY 2011-2012 Amtrak’s San Joaquin route was the fifth busiest corridor in the country with more than 1.1 million riders.

As part of a larger strategy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and reduce vehicle trips within Kern County, Kern COG believes the addition of Amtrak service points along the SR-43 Corridor between Bakersfield and Wasco should be one of its policy objectives. The addition of these Amtrak service points could result in passenger rail service to rural employment sites along the SR-43 Corridor. Exhibit 7.8 presents the potential Amtrak station locations.

---

1 California High Speed Rail Statewide Rail Modernization Plan, [http://www.hsr.ca.gov/](http://www.hsr.ca.gov/), May 2013
3 Kern Council of Governments: [Regional Transportation Plan](http://www.hsr.ca.gov/), June 2014.
Exhibit 7.8 Proposed Amtrak Station Locations
Exhibit 7.8  Proposed Amtrak Station Locations - Legend
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APPENDIX

Exhibit A.1  Onboard Survey Instrument (English)

2016 Wasco Dial-A-Ride Customer Survey

The Kern Council of Governments, in cooperation with the City of Wasco, is conducting a survey of the City’s Dial-A-Ride customers to identify travel habits, mobility needs, and opportunities for improvement. Your participation is important because it ensures the City’s transit program reflects the community’s needs and priorities. Thank you for taking this survey. Every completed survey will be entered into a raffle to win one of several $50 VISA gift cards! Please return the survey using the postage-paid envelope provided by March 15, 2016.

1. On average, how many one-way rides do you take on Wasco Dial-A-Ride each week?
   - □ Less than 1 trip per week
   - □ 1 to 2 trips per week
   - □ 3 to 4 trips per week
   - □ 5 or more trips per week

2. What is your overall satisfaction with Wasco Dial-A-Ride?
   - □ Very satisfied
   - □ Satisfied
   - □ Somewhat satisfied
   - □ Not satisfied

3. What is your most common trip purpose when riding Wasco Dial-A-Ride?
   - □ Shopping
   - □ Healthcare/medical
   - □ Work
   - □ School
   - □ Social/recreation
   - □ Other (Specify: ____________________________)

4. What is your primary reason for using Wasco Dial-A-Ride? (check only one)
   - □ No or limited access to a personal vehicle
   - □ Don’t drive/no longer drive
   - □ Other transportation services (i.e., taxi) are too expensive
   - □ Convenience
   - □ Have no other travel options
   - □ Prefer DAR over other options
   - □ Have a disability which affects my mobility

5. How would you improve the Wasco Dial-A-Ride service? (select up to 2 responses)
   - □ Saturday service from ______ to ______ (indicate times)
   - □ Sunday service from ______ to ______ (indicate times)
   - □ Earlier weekday service from ______ to ______ (indicate times)
   - □ Later weekday service from ______ to ______ (indicate times)
   - □ None

6. Have you used Kern Transit’s intercity Route 110 (Bakersfield-Delano) or Route 115 (Bakersfield-Lost Hills) within the past 90 days?
   - □ Yes
   - □ No

6a. If yes, on average, how many one-way rides do you make each week on either of these Kern Transit routes?
   - □ Less than 1 trip per week
   - □ 1 to 2 trips per week
   - □ 3 to 4 trips per week
   - □ 5 or more trips per week

7. How many adults (age 18 and above) reside within your household? __________

8. How many personal vehicles are available to your household? __________

9. What is your current employment status?
   - □ Employed full-time
   - □ Employed part-time
   - □ Student
   - □ Not employed
   - □ Decline to respond
   - □ Retired

9a. If employed, what is the zip code of your primary work location? __________

10. What is your age?
    - □ Under 18
    - □ 18 to 24
    - □ 25 to 44
    - □ 45 to 64
    - □ 65 or older
    - □ Decline to respond

11. Which languages are commonly spoken within your household?
    - □ English
    - □ Spanish
    - □ Other (Specify: ____________________________)

Please provide your contact information so you can be entered in the $50 VISA gift card drawing. Your information will be kept confidential.

Name: __________________________
Phone/Email: __________________________

Thank you for your participation!
Exhibit A.2  Onboard Survey Instrument (Spanish)

Encuesta de Pasajero Wasco Dial-A-Ride 2016

El Consejo de Gobiernos de Kern, en cooperación con la ciudad de Wasco, está realizando una encuesta de pasajeros de Dial-A-Ride de la Ciudad para identificar los hábitos de viaje, las necesidades de movilidad y las oportunidades de mejora. Su participación es importante porque asegura que el programa de tránsito de la Ciudad refleje las necesidades y prioridades de la comunidad. Gracias por tomarse unos minutos para completar esta encuesta. Cada encuesta completada será entrada en una rifa para una de varias tarjetas de regalo VISA [con valor de $50]. Por favor, devuélva la encuesta en el sobre con franqueo pagado que se incluye antes del 15 de marzo 2016.

1. En promedio, ¿cuántos paseos unidireccionales se toma en Wasco Dial-A-Ride cada semana?
   - [ ] Menos de 1 viaje por semana
   - [ ] 1 a 2 viajes por semana
   - [ ] 3 a 4 viajes por semana
   - [ ] 5 o más viajes por semana

2. ¿Cuál es su satisfacción general con Wasco Dial-A-Ride?
   - [ ] Muy satisfecho
   - [ ] Satisfecho
   - [ ] Un poco satisfecho
   - [ ] No satisfecho

3. ¿Cuál es su propósito de viaje más común cuando viajando en Wasco Dial-A-Ride?
   - [ ] Compras
   - [ ] Cuidado de la salud/medicina
   - [ ] Trabajo
   - [ ] Escuela
   - [ ] Social/recreación
   - [ ] Otro (especifique:__________)

4. ¿Cuál es su razón principal para el uso de Wasco Dial-A-Ride? (Marcue sólo una)
   - [ ] No o limitado acceso a vehículo personal
   - [ ] Otros servicios de transporte (i.e., taxi) son muy caros
   - [ ] No tengo acceso a transporte público
   - [ ] Otros servicios de transporte (i.e., taxi) son demasiado caros
   - [ ] Quiero reducir mi uso de automóvil

5. ¿Cómo mejorarías el servicio Wasco Dial-A-Ride? (seleccione hasta dos respuestas)
   - [ ] Servicio en sábado de ______ a ______ (indica tiempos)
   - [ ] Servicio en domingo de ______ a ______ (indica tiempos)
   - [ ] Servicio más temprano que ______ a ______ (indica tiempos)
   - [ ] Servicio más tarde que ______ a ______ (indica tiempos)
   - [ ] Ninguno

6. ¿Has utilizado la Ruta 110 interurbana de Kern Transit (Bakersfield-Delano) o Ruta 115 (Bakersfield-Lost Hills) entre los pasados 90 días?  [ ] Sí  [ ] No

6a. Si "Sí", en promedio, cuántos paseos unidireccionales hace cada semana en cualquiera de estas rutas de Kern Transit?
   - [ ] Menos de 1 viaje por semana
   - [ ] 1 a 2 viajes por semana
   - [ ] 3 a 4 viajes por semana
   - [ ] 5 o más viajes por semana

7. ¿Cuántos adultos (de 18 años y más) residen dentro de su hogar?__________

8. ¿Cuántos vehículos personales están disponibles para su hogar?__________

9. ¿Cuál es su situación laboral actual?
   - [ ] Empleado tiempo-completo
   - [ ] Empleado tiempo-parcial
   - [ ] Estudiante
   - [ ] No empleado
   - [ ] Prefiero no responder
   - [ ] Jubilado/Retirado

9a. Si eres empleado, ¿Qué es el código postal de su lugar principal de trabajo?__________

10. ¿Cuál es su edad?
    - [ ] Menor de 18
    - [ ] 18 a 24
    - [ ] 25 a 44
    - [ ] 45 a 64
    - [ ] 65 o mayor
    - [ ] Prefiero no responder

11. ¿Qué idiomas se hablan dentro de su hogar?
    - [ ] Inglés
    - [ ] Español
    - [ ] Otro (especifique:____________________)

¡Proporcione su información para tener la oportunidad de ganar una tarjeta VISA de regalo de $50! Toda la información permanecerá confidencial.

Nombre:__________________________
Teléfono/Email:____________________

¡Gracias por su participación!
2016 City of Wasco Community Survey

To be completed by one member of your household age 18 or older.

The Kern Council of Governments, in cooperation with the City of Wasco, is conducting a survey of Wasco residents to identify travel habits, mobility needs, and opportunities for improvement. Your participation is important because it ensures the City’s transit program will reflect the community’s needs and priorities. Thank you for taking this survey. Every completed survey will be entered into a raffle to win one of several $50 VISA gift cards! Please return the survey using the postage-paid envelope provided by February 26, 2016.

1. Are you aware the City of Wasco operates a Dial-A-Ride program? □ Yes □ No
2. Have you used Wasco Dial-A-Ride within the past 90 days? □ Yes □ No

2a. If yes, what is your overall satisfaction with Wasco Dial-A-Ride?
□ Very satisfied □ Satisfied □ Somewhat satisfied □ Not satisfied

3. Are you aware Kern Transit operates an intercity service linking Bakersfield and Delano (Route 110) as well as from Bakersfield to Lost Hills (Route 115) which includes stops in Wasco?
□ Yes, I am aware of both □ I was aware of Route 110, but not Route 115
□ I am aware of Route 115, but not Route 110 □ No, I am not aware of either

4. Have you used Kern Transit Route 110 or Kern Transit Route 115 within the past 90 days?
□ Yes □ No

4a. If yes, what is your overall satisfaction with Kern Transit?
□ Very satisfied □ Satisfied □ Somewhat satisfied □ Not satisfied

5. What changes may increase your use of Wasco Dial-A-Ride or Kern Transit’s intercity service? (select up to three)
□ If it was easier to use □ If it were less expensive
□ Loss of your personal vehicle □ An increase in fuel prices
□ The loss of your driver license □ If it was paid for by employer
□ If it took me directly to work (where: ____________________________)
□ Later weekday service (when: ____________________________)
□ More Saturday service (when: ____________________________)
□ Nothing could make me ride □ Other (specify: ____________________________)

6. If you ride either the City’s Dial-A-Ride or Kern Transit’s intercity service, what is your most common trip purpose? (select up to two)
□ Shopping □ Healthcare/medical □ Work
□ School □ Social/recreation □ Other (specify: ____________________________)
□ I do not ride public transit

7. How many adults (age 18 and older) reside within your household? ____________

8. How many personal vehicles are available to your household? ____________

9. What is your primary means of transportation?
□ Personal vehicle □ Public bus □ Bicycle □ Walking/skateboard/scooter
□ Taxi

10. What is your current employment status?
□ Employed full-time □ Employed part-time □ Student
□ Not employed □ Decline to respond □ Retired

10a. If employed, what is the zip code of your primary work location? ____________________________

11. What is your age?
□ 18 to 24 □ 25 to 44 □ 45 to 64 □ 65 or older □ Decline to respond

12. Which languages are commonly spoken within your household? (select all that apply)
□ English □ Spanish □ Other (specify: ____________________________)

Please provide your contact information so you can be entered into the $50 VISA gift card drawing. Your information will be kept confidential.
Name: ____________________________ Phone/Email: ____________________________

Thank you for your participation!
Encuesta Comunitaria De La Ciudad de Wasco 2016
Debe ser completada por un miembro de su hogar 18 años de edad o mayor.

1. ¿Es usted consciente que la Ciudad de Wasco opera un programa de Dial-A-Ride? ☐ Sí ☐ No

2. ¿Ha utilizado Wasco Dial-A-Ride durante los últimos 90 días? ☐ Sí ☐ No
   2a. Si “Sí”, ¿cuál es su satisfacción general con Wasco Dial-A-Ride?
       ☐ Muy satisfecho ☐ Satisfecho ☐ Un poco satisfecho ☐ No satisfecho

3. ¿Es usted consciente que Kern Transit opera un servicio interurbano conectando Bakersfield y Delano (Ruta 110) también desde Bakersfield a Lost Hills (Ruta 115) cuáles incluye paradas en Wasco?
   ☐ Sí, consciente de los dos ☐ Consciente de Ruta 110, pero no Ruta 115
   ☐ Consciente de Ruta 115, pero no Ruta 110 ☐ No, no soy consciente de ningún

4. ¿Ha utilizado Kern Transit Ruta 110 o Kern Transit Ruta 115 entre los pasados 90 días? ☐ Sí ☐ No
   4a. Si “Sí”, ¿cuál es su satisfacción general con Kern Transit?
       ☐ Muy satisfecho ☐ Satisfecho ☐ Un poco satisfecho ☐ No satisfecho

5. ¿Qué cambios podrían aumentar su uso de cualquiera de Wasco Dial-A-Ride o el servicio interurbano de Kern Transit? (seleccione hasta tres)
   ☐ Si fuera más fácil para utilizar ☐ Si fuera menos caro
   ☐ Pérdida de mi vehículo personal ☐ Un aumento en costo de gasolina
   ☐ Pérdida de mi licencia de conducir ☐ Si fuera pagado por mi empleador
   ☐ Si me lleva directamente a donde trabajo (donde: _________________________)
   ☐ Servicio más tarde entre semana (cuando: ________________________________)
   ☐ Más servicio en sábado (cuando: ________________________________)
   ☐ Nadie me haría viajar ☐ Otro (especifique: ________________________________)

6. Si utiliza cualquiera del Dial-A-Ride de la Ciudad o el servicio interurbano de Kern Transit, ¿cuál es su propósito más común de viaje? (seleccione un máximo de dos)
   ☐ Compras ☐ Cuidado de la salud/medico ☐ Trabajo
   ☐ Escuela ☐ Social/recreación ☐ Otra (especifique: ________________________________)
   ☐ Yo no uso tránsito público

7. ¿Cuántos adultos (de 18 años y más) residen dentro de su hogar? ________________________________

8. ¿Cuántos vehículos personales están disponibles para su hogar? ________________________________

9. ¿Cuál es su método de transporte primario?
   ☐ Vehículo personal ☐ Autobús público ☐ Bicicleta ☐ Caminar/patineta/scooter
   ☐ Taxi

10. ¿Cuál es su situación laboral actual?
    ☐ Empleado tiempo-completo ☐ Empleado tiempo parcial ☐ Estudiante
    ☐ No empleado ☐ Prefiero no responder ☐ Jubilado/Retirado
    10a. Si eres empleado, ¿qué es el código postal de su lugar principal de trabajo? ________________________________

11. ¿Cuál es su edad?
    ☐ 18 a 24 ☐ 25 a 44 ☐ 45 a 64 ☐ 65 o mayor ☐ Prefiero no responder

12. ¿Qué idiomas se hablan dentro de su hogar? (seleccione los que aplican)
    ☐ Inglés ☐ Español ☐ Otro (especifique: ________________________________)

¡Proporcione su información para tener la oportunidad de ganar una tarjeta VISA de regalo de $50! Toda la información permanecerá confidencial.

Nombre: ________________________________ Teléfono/Email: ________________________________

¡Gracias por su participación!
Exhibit A.5 State Route 43 Employer Survey

2016 State Route 43 Employer Survey

Kern Council of Governments, in partnership with the Cities of Shafter and Wasco, is working to improve and expand transportation options along State Route 43. As an employer within the SR-43 Corridor, your input is important to the success of this project. Please complete this short survey and return it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. The first ten employers to return a survey by Friday, March 18 will receive a $50 VISA gift card. Thank you in advance for your participation!

1. How many persons are currently employed at this location?
   - Fewer than 50
   - 51 to 100
   - 101 to 250
   - 251 to 500

2. Do you employ additional workers at other locations?  Yes  No

2a. If yes, please indicate the zip code of other locations as well as the number of employees:

2b. If yes, do employees typically:
   - Work in a single location or Work in more than one location?

3. What are the typical work days and hours at this location? (check all days that apply)
   - Sunday
   - Monday
   - Tuesday
   - Wednesday
   - Thursday
   - Friday
   - Saturday
   Hours:

4. Which of the following best describes the operations at this location?
   - Seasonal
   - Year-round

4a. If you selected seasonal, what are the typical months of operation?

5. Does your company currently provide any transportation assistance for its employees at this location?
   - Yes
   - No

6. If you answered “Yes” to Question 5, please mark all that apply.
   - Bus fare subsidy
   - Carpool
   - Vanpool
   - Bike lockers/shower facilities
   - Flextime
   - Guaranteed ride home
   - Telecommuting
   - Other (specify: ________________________)

7. If you answered “No” to Question 5, which of the following statements is most accurate?
   - No demand from employees
   - Unaware that such services exist/available
   - Other (specify: ________________________)

8. Would you be interested in receiving information regarding no-cost ride-sharing services for your employees?  Yes  No

9. Please provide your contact information so that we may follow up with you if we have any further questions.

   Company:

   Company Address:

   Your name:

   Email:

   Phone:

Thank you for your participation!
Exhibit A.6  Route 110 Onboard Survey Instrument (English)

2016 Kern Transit Customer Survey

Kern Council of Governments, in partnership with Kern Transit, is working to identify travel habits, mobility needs, and opportunities for improvement along the State Route 43 Corridor. As an individual using public transit within this Corridor, your input is important to the success of this project. Please complete this short survey by Tuesday, March 15 for a chance to win a $25 VISA gift card.

1. Please tell us where you normally board Kern Transit’s Route 110. Provide an address or nearest cross-streets.
   Cross-streets: __________ and __________
   Location: __________

2. Please tell us the location where you normally get off the Kern Transit Route 110. Provide an address or nearest cross-streets.
   Cross-streets: __________ and __________
   Location: __________

3. In a typical week, how many one-way trips do you make on Kern Transit’s Route 110?
   □ Less than 1
   □ 1-2 trips
   □ 3-4 trips
   □ 5 or more trips

4. What is the most common reason you ride Kern Transit’s Route 110?
   □ Cost
   □ Proximity to your destination
   □ Lack of car
   □ Avoid traffic/parking
   □ Other (specify): __________

5. How do you normally pay for your bus ride?
   □ Pay cash
   □ Use pre-paid fare ticket

6. Does your travel on Kern Transit’s Route 110 usually include a connection to or from the following [mark all that apply]:
   □ City of Shafter Dial-A-Ride
   □ City of Wasco Dial-A-Ride
   □ Another Kern Transit bus
   □ Golden Empire Transit (GET bus)
   □ None of the above

   Provide your contact information to be entered into a random drawing for a $25 VISA gift card.

   Name: __________

   Phone/Email: __________

   All personal information will be kept confidential.

   Thank you for your participation!
Encuesta de Pasajero Kern Transit 2015

El Consejo de Gobiernos de Kern, en cooperación con Kern Transit, está trabajando para identificar los hábitos de viaje, las necesidades de movilidad y las oportunidades de mejora a lo largo del Corredor de Ruta 43 del Estado. Como un individuo utilizando transporte público dentro este Corredor, su opinión es importante al éxito del proyecto. Comprueba la encuesta competitiva antes del 15 de Marzo para una oportunidad de ganar una tarjeta VISA de $25!

1. Por favor díganos donde normalmente sube a Ruta 110 de Kern Transit. Proporcione una dirección o cruce de calles más cercanas.
   
   Cruce de calles: 1__________________ V2__________________

   Ubicación: __________________

2. Por favor díganos la ubicación donde normalmente se baja de la Ruta 110 de Kern Transit. Proporcione una dirección o cruce de calles más cercanas.

   Cruce de calles: 1__________________ V2__________________

   Ubicación: __________________

3. En una semana típica, ¿cuántos viajes unidireccionales hace en la Ruta 110 de Kern Transit?
   □ Menos de 1
   □ 1-2 viajes
   □ 3-4 viajes
   □ 5 o más viajes

4. ¿Cuál es su razón más común por utilizar la Ruta 110 de Kern Transit?
   □ Costo
   □ Proximidad a mi destino
   □ Falta de carro
   □ Evitar tráfico/estacionamiento
   □ Otras (especifique): __________________

5. Normalmente, ¿cómo paga por su viaje de autobús?
   □ Pago en efectivo
   □ Uso tarifa de boleto pre-pagado

6. ¿Su viaje en la Ruta 110 de Kern Transit típicamente incluye una conexión a, o de, uno de los siguientes? (Marque todos que aplican):
   □ Dial-A-Ride Ciudad de Shafter
   □ Dial-A-Ride Ciudad de Wasco
   □ Otro autobús de Kern Transit
   □ Golden Empire Transit (autobús GET)
   □ Ninguno de los anteriores

   Proporcione su información de contacto para entrar en una rifa al azar por una tarjeta de regalo VISA con valor de $25.

   Nombre: ____________________________

   Teléfono/Email: ____________________________

   Todo la información personal será mantenido confidencial
   ¡Gracias por su participación!
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FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
March 23, 2016

CONTACT:  Bob Snoddy
Kern Council of Governments
(661) 861-2191

Residents Invited to Share Transit Priorities, Needs at Upcoming Workshops

Shafter/Wasco, CA – Residents of Shafter and Wasco are invited to take part in an open discussion about public transit at two upcoming workshops. The cities of Shafter and Wasco, in partnership with Kern Council of Governments (Kern COG), will be hosting these workshops at which residents will be encouraged to discuss their mobility needs, review initial findings from the current transit planning process, and learn about preliminary transit program recommendations for each community.

Two free workshops are scheduled for Saturday, April 16:

- **Wasco Old Courthouse** (810 8th Street, Wasco), from 10 am to 11:30 am; and
- **W.C. Walker Senior Center** (505 Sunset Avenue, Shafter), from 1:30 pm to 3 pm.

Following a presentation of the initial findings and discussion of local mobility needs, workshop participants will have the opportunity to learn about potential recommendations for their community’s local transit program. All participants will be invited to “vote” on their preferred priorities and preferences. Feedback from these workshops will be used to shape the final recommendations for transit in each community.

Spanish interpretation will be available at each workshop. Light snacks/refreshments and children’s activities will be provided. Participants are encouraged to stop by and offer feedback even if unable to stay for the entire session.

Initial community input has been undertaken through a community survey, which was administered through in-person interviews, online, and via mail. Residents who have not yet completed a survey may do so online at [www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com](http://www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com) through April 16.

The community workshops and other engagement efforts are part of the development of five-year Transit Development Plans for each community, sponsored by Kern COG. “These Plans provide an opportunity to improve public transit within each community, while also evaluating current and future regional and inter-community connections,” said Bob Snoddy, Regional Planner with Kern COG. “The workshops offer a unique opportunity for residents to make their voices heard so that the local transit programs can truly reflect the needs of the community – both now and in the near future.” Completion of the plans is slated for this summer.

Kern Council of Governments (Kern COG) is a voluntary association of city and county governments primarily created to address regional transportation issues. Its member agencies include the County of Kern and its 11 incorporated cities. Learn more about Kern COG’s prestigious awards program and planning initiatives at [www.kern cog.org](http://www.kern cog.org). Questions regarding this project can be directed to Bob Snoddy at bsnoddy@kern cog.org.

###

Moore & Associates | 2016
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WELCOME / BIENVENIDOS

SHARE YOUR TRANSIT PRIORITIES
COMPARTA SUS PRIORIDADES DE TRÁNSITO

Two free workshops are scheduled at the following times and locations:
Dos talleres gratuitos están programados en los siguientes horarios y lugares:

SATURDAY, APRIL 16 / SÁBADO, 16 DE ABRIL
• Old Courthouse Building, 10 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.
  810 8th Street, Wasco, CA 93280

• W.C. Walker Senior Center, 1:30 p.m. - 3 p.m.
  505 Sunset Avenue, Shafter, CA 93263

The cities of Shafter and Wasco, in partnership with Kern Council of Government (Kern COG), will be hosting two public workshops. Come discuss your mobility needs, review initial findings from current transit planning, and learn about preliminary transit program recommendations for each community.
Las ciudades de Shafter y Wasco, en colaboración con el Consejo de gobierno de Kern (Kern COG), organizará dos talleres públicos. Venga y hable de sus necesidades de movilidad, revise los resultados iniciales del tránsito corriente y aprenda sobre las recomendaciones preliminares del programa de tránsito para cada comunidad.


*Printed copies (8 ½” x 11” flyers) mailed to the following:
  o DAR operator in Wasco (4 laminated copies) and Shafter (6 laminated copies)
  o City of Wasco Park & Rec (50 copies) and City of Shafter (5 laminated copies)
  o Faith-based organizations in Wasco (18 copies) and Shafter (22 copies)
  o e-Blast sent March 29 and April 11 to 43 recipients (27% open rate for both mailings)
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Community invited to Transit Study

The cities of Wasco and Shafter are preparing a Transit Development Plan (TDP) for their respective public transit programs. Currently, each city operates a demand-response service, commonly known as Dial-A-Ride. Funded by the Kern Council of Governments (Kern COG), each Transit Development Plan will serve as a blueprint for the continued development of public transit service within each community. Completion of the plans is slated for early summer.

Residents of Wasco and Shafter are invited to share details concerning mobility needs and public transportation preferences via a survey available online at www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com. As a thank you for participating, everyone who completes a survey will be entered into a random drawing for a $50 VISA gift card. A printed version of the survey was mailed to more than 1,800 households, and in-person interviews are being conducted at locations in each community.

“We see this project as an opportunity to learn more about the transportation needs and preferences of persons living and/or working in Wasco and Shafter,” explained Bob Snoddy of Kern Council of Governments. “This input will lead to a presentation of practical ideas designed to address these needs and preferences,” he added.

Questions regarding this project can be directed to Bob Snoddy at bsnoddy@kernco.org.

City invites community to participate in Transit Study

The cities of Wasco and Shafter are preparing a Transit Development Plan (TDP) for their respective public transit programs. Currently, each city operates a demand-response service, commonly known as Dial-A-Ride. Funded by the Kern Council of Governments (Kern COG), each Transit Development Plan will serve as a blueprint for the continued development of public transit service within each community. Completion of the plans is slated for early summer.

Residents of Wasco and Shafter are invited to share details concerning mobility needs and public transportation preferences via a survey available online at www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com. As a thank you for participating, everyone who completes a survey will be entered into a random drawing for a $50 VISA gift card. A printed version of the survey was mailed to more than 1,800 households, and in-person interviews are being conducted at locations in each community.

“We see this project as an opportunity to learn more about the transportation needs and preferences of persons living and/or working in Wasco and Shafter,” explained Bob Snoddy of Kern Council of Governments.

“Through this input will lead to a presentation of practical ideas designed to address these needs and preferences,” he added.

Questions regarding this project can be directed to Bob Snoddy at bsnoddy@kernco.org.

*Media releases sent to Shafter Press/Wasco Tribune (March 2 and March 29, 2016).
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**Twitter**

Wasco residents, complete the community survey at [www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com](http://www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com) and be entered in a drawing for a $50 VISA card.

Visit [www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com](http://www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com), complete the community survey by March 14, and be entered in a random drawing for a $50 VISA card!

Want better public transportation? Visit [www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com](http://www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com) and tell us what you would like. You may win a $50 VISA card!

Tell us your mobility needs? Visit [www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com](http://www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com). We’ll thank you with a chance to win a $50 VISA card!

**Twitter Spanish**


Visita [www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com](http://www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com), para completar la Encuesta Comunitaria de Wasco, y entre en un sorteo para una oportunidad de ganar una tarjeta VISA de $50!


**Facebook**
Would you like better public transportation in your community? How? Please take a few minutes to complete the Wasco Community Survey online at [www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com](http://www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com). As a “thank you”, you’ll be entered into a random drawing to win one of two $50 VISA gift cards. **Survey deadline is March 14.**

You can help shape public transportation in northern Kern County. The Kern Council of Governments, in cooperation with the City of Shafter and City of Wasco, is developing a Transit Master Plan. Your input will be taken into account as this Plan is developed. Visit [www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com](http://www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com) to take the 2016 Community Survey. You are all invited to provide your input. **Survey deadline is March 14.** The more community involvement there is, the better the final Transit Master Plan will be.
Here’s your chance to give feedback! Visit www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com to complete the 2016 Community Survey. Complete the survey by March 14 and be entered in a random drawing for a $50 VISA card! The findings of this survey will be used to help the Kern Council of Governments, City of Shafter, and City of Wasco provide better transit service throughout Kern County, especially in Wasco and Shafter.

Facebook Spanish

Usted puede cambiar el transporte público en el norte de Kern County. El Consejo de Gobiernos de Kern, en cooperación con la ciudad de Shafter y la ciudad de Wasco, están desarrollando un Plan Maestro de Tránsito. Su opinión se tomará en cuenta para desarrollar este plan. Visita www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com para tomar la Encuesta Comunitaria de Wasco 2016. Completa la encuesta antes del 14 de Marzo. ¡Todas están invitadas a dar su opinión! La más participación de la comunidad que hay, mejor el resultado será para el Plan Maestro de Tránsito.

¡Aquí tienes la oportunidad de dar tu opinión! Visita www.TransitPlan4NorthKern.com para completar la Encuesta Comunitaria de Shafter 2016. ¡Completa la encuesta antes del 14 de Marzo y entra en un sorteo para una oportunidad de ganar una tarjeta VISA de $50! Los resultados de esta encuesta se utilizarán para ayudar al Consejo de Gobiernos de Kern, la ciudad de Shafter, y la ciudad de Wasco para ofrecer un mejor servicio de tránsito en todo el Condado de Kern, especialmente en Wasco y Shafter.