Kern Council of Governments

Transportation Development Act-Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Claim

I. General Information

- **A.** Eligible Claimants: The County of Kern and the incorporated cities of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, McFarland, Ridgecrest, Shafter, Taft, Tehachapi and Wasco.
- **B.** Filing Deadline: Article 3 claims must be filed on or before Monday July 16, 2018 Claims will not be considered filed until all forms, documents and supporting information have been received at the offices of the Kern Council of Governments.
- **C.** Claim Guidelines: Claims shall be filed in accordance with California Public Utilities Code Section 99234, associated California Department of Transportation administrative regulations and Kern Council of Governments Transportation Development Act Rules and Regulations.
- **D. Claim Format:** Claims shall be filed on the forms prescribed by the Kern Council of Governments.

E. Funding Priorities:

First Priority: Bicycle Parking Facilities and Bicycle Safety Programs.

Second Priority: After all claims for First Priority projects have been satisfied the remaining funding shall be divided seventy (70%) percent to bicycle travel facilities projects and thirty (30%) to pedestrian projects. Projects proposed for funding will be evaluated either as a bicycle travel facility project, or as a pedestrian project, according to identification of the project by the submitting agency.

- F. Claimant Funding Limitation: Not more than forty (40) percent of the available annual apportionment shall be approve for allocation to any single claimant, unless all other claims filed for the same period have been satisfied. Projects must be completed within three (3) years of funding allocation. If the project is not completed within the three (3) year time period the funding allocation will lapse, and any funding disbursed for the project will be refunded to the Kern Council of Governments and added to the unallocated funding pool. The funding will be reallocated in the next program funding cycle.
- **G.** Claiming Allocations: The Kern Council of Governments must be notified, in writing,

not more than thirty (30) days prior to project initiation requesting transfer of funds to the claimant. Supporting documentation (such as an executed construction contract, sales receipt, etc.) substantiating the claim must be provided at that time.

II. Part 1-Claimant Information

Provide agency identification and contact location. Identify a single representative to act as the liaison with the Kern Council of Governments on ALL matters related to this claim.

Part 2-Financial Assurances

Have the individual authorized by the claimant's governing body to approve the execution and filing of the claim and the individual responsible for the financial information sign and date the claim form.

III. Facilities/Project Description

IV. Project Evaluation Worksheet

- A. Bicycle Parking Facility and Bicycle Safety Program Criteria
- B. Bicycle Travel Facility Criteria
- C. Pedestrian Facility Criteria

Claimant Information

(include this sheet with each application)

A. Claimant			
Agency:			
Mailing Address:			
Office Address:			
City/State/Zipcode:			
Telephone:	FAX:	E-mail:	
B. Contact Person			
Name:			
Title:			
Department:			
Office Address:			
City/State/Zipcode:			
Telephone:	FAX:	E-mail:	

II. Part 2

Financial Assurances

(include this sheet with each application)

Clain	nant:	Fiscal Year
		subject to the approval of the Kern Council of s apportioned pursuant to California Public Utilities
B.	Compliance Assurances: Claiman uant to California Public Utilities Co	thereby certifies that as a condition of receiving funds de Section 99234 it will ensure that:
1.	Section 99234, applicable Californ	inpliance with the requirements of Public Utilities Code nia administrative regulations and the Kern Council of velopment Act Rules and Regulations.
2.	*	ordance with project description(s) and budget(s) areto and made a part hereof, by this reference.
for bi		on and for the purpose of obtaining funds apportioned to Public Utilities Code, Division 10, Part 11, Chapter 4
_	person whose signature appears belo e and prepare, submit and execute th	w has been authorized to provide the assurances cited is claim on behalf of the claimant.
By:_	Signature	Date:
Title	:	
	_	test to the reasonableness and accuracy of the financial half of the claimant and assure that the funds will be d budget.
By:_	Signature	Date:
Title		

Part III

Facilities/Project Description

(Include this sheet with each project proposal)

A.	Project Title:	
В:	Project Description:	
C:	Location:	
D: E:	When will this project be completed?	
F.	Budget:	
	Design and Engineering	\$
	Construction	\$
	Equipment and Installation	\$
	Other (Specify)	\$
	TOTAL COST	\$

Part V. Project Evaluation Bicycle Parking Facility Criteria

A.	Location where the bicycle rack or bicycle locker will be installed:
В.	Currently Available Parking Spaces at the Project Location:
	Automobile
	Bicycle
C.	Maximum Funding: Each eligible jurisdiction may claim up to \$3,000 annually. Jurisdictions may claim additional allocations with permission from donor jurisdictions. Total program funding for bicycle parking shall not exceed \$36,000 annually.
	Part V. Project Evaluation Bicycle Safety Program
A.	Proposed activities for this bicycle safety program:
В.	Maximum Funding: Each eligible jurisdiction may claim up to \$2,000 annually. Jurisdictions may claim additional allocations with permission from donor jurisdictions. Total program funding for bicycle safety shall not exceed \$24,000 annually.

Part V. Project Evaluation Bicycle Travel Facilities Criteria

A. PLANNING AND DESIGN

1. The proposed facility must conform to the Highway Design Manual, Chapter 1000, Bikeway Planning and Design Criteria.

B. SAFETY

1. There have been	_ accidents involving bicycles in the corridor to be served by	the
proposed facility during the	last three (3) years.	

1a. Source of information concerning accidents:

Facility Class	Accident Range	Points
II &III	0-2	5
II & III	3-5	10
II & III	6 or more	15
I	Not Applicable	15
II & III I		

2.	The most recent	count of average	e daily traffic	on the cor	ridor proposed	for the bicycle travel
fac	ility is	ADT.				

2a. Source of information on Average Daily Traffic:______

Facility Class	Average Daily Traffic	Points
II &III	Less than 2,000	5
II & III	2,001 to 8,000	10
II & III	8,001 to 15,000	15
II & III	More than 15,000	20

I	Not Applicable		20
3. Existing facilities s	standards		
Existing facility comp	olies with all Caltrans design a	and operational standar	ds 0 points
•	ome Caltrans design and oper high traffic volumes, etc.)	rational deficiencies	2 points
•	safe according Caltrans design cles and pedestrians in travel		5 points
		B: SAFETY TOTAL	L
C: NEED			
1. The proposed proje	ect is within 1/4 mile (1,320 f	eet) of the following at	tractions:
<u>Number</u>	Attraction Type	Points	Number X Points
	School	6	
	Commercial Center	5	
	Office/Industrial Sites	5	
Note: The number of schools and other attractions within the $1/4$ mile (1,320 foot) corridor shall be allocated points on the following basis:			
Schools:	6 points each (no limit)		
Commercial Centers: 5 points per 10,000 square feet of store area. (Maximum 20 points)			
Office/Industrial Sites: 5 points per 20 employees per each site. (Maximum 20 points)			
		C: NEED TO	OTAL

D: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT AND CONTINUITY

1. Does the proposed project eliminate gaps in the bikeway system or serves as a link between communities or other systems?

Yes	10 points
No	0 points

2. Does the proposed project upgrade the bicycle travel facility system in any of the following manners?

Description	Facility Class	Points	
Eliminates on-street parking	III	10	
Provide a physical barrier for bicycles	II	10	
Separates bicycles from automobile traffic	I	10	
D: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT AND CONTINUITY TOTAL			

E. LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS

1. Percentage of total cost:

Percentage of Total Cost	<u>Points</u>
No match	0 points
Greater than 0% but less than 5%	5 points
5% but less than 10%	10 points
10% but less than 15%	15 points
Greater than 15%	20 points
2. Source of matching funds:	

E: LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL

F: TOTAL POINTS (B + C + D + E) =

Part V. Project Evaluation Criteria Pedestrian Facilities Criteria

A. PROJECT ELIGIBILITY

1. Does the proposed project represe arterial or collector streets, freeways	ent only <u>new</u> sidewalks or pedestrian bridges on or across s, expressways or railroads? YES NO			
· · · · · ·	to occupy a right-of-way other than that of the local other written permission been obtained? YES NO			
B. SAFETY				
1. There have beentraffic accidents involving pedestrians in the proposed project corridor during the last three (3) years.				
1a. Source of information concerning accidents				
No. of Accidents	Points			
0	0			
1 or 2	5			
3 to 5	10			
More than 6	15			
2. The most recent count of average daily traffic on the corridor proposed for the pedestrian facility is ADT.				
2a. Source of information on Average Daily Traffic				
Average Daily Traffic Less than 2,000	Points 5			
2,001 to 8,000	10			

8,001 to 15,000 More than 15,000 3. Existing facilit	15 20 ies standards			
Existing facility complies with all Caltrans design and operational standards 0 points				
Existing facility had (i.e. narrow should	s 2 points			
•	s unsafe according Caltrans des picycles and pedestrians in trav	•	5 points	
	В:	SAFETY TOTAL		
C: NEED				
1. The proposed p	project is within 1/4 mile (1,32	0 feet) of the following	ng attractions:	
<u>Number</u>	Attraction Type	Points	Number X Points	
	School	6		
	Commercial Center	5		
	Office/Industrial Sites	5		
	of schools and other attractions on the following basis:	ns within the 1/4 mile	e (1,320 foot) corridor shall	
Schools:	6 points each (no limit)			
Commercial Cente	ers: 5 points per 10,000 square	e feet of store area. (N	Maximum 20 points)	
Office/Industrial S	Sites: 5 points per 20 employee	es per each site. (Max	imum 20 points)	
		C: NEED TOTA	AL	

D: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT AND CONTINUITY

1. Does the proposed project eliminate gaps in the pedestrian system or serves as a link between communities or other systems?

Yes	10 points
No	0 points

2. Does the proposed project upgrade the pedestrian facility system in any of the following manners?

<u>Upgrade Description</u>	<u>Points</u>
Provide a physical barrier for pedestrians	10
Separates pedestrians from automobile traffic	10

D: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT AND CONTINUITY TOTAL

E. LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS

Percentage of Total Cost	<u>Points</u>		
No match	0 points		
Greater than 0% but less than 5%	5 points		
5% but less than 10%	10 points		
10% but less than 15%	15 points		
Greater than 15%	20 points		
2. Source of matching funds:			
E: MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL			

F: TOTAL POINTS (B + C + D + E) =